

Matter 1 – 1

In respect of representations which have been made on behalf of Mr Bowring in relation to his two sites in Edwinstowe and Clipstone and necessarily in relation to other proposed sites this matter queries whether the Plan has been prepared in accordance with the requirement of the Duty to Cooperate. In this respect, it is considered that it has not because at each stage of inviting and considering submissions, the Authority has undertaken their assessment on a completely unilateral basis and there has been no cooperation at all in this respect.

Matter 1 – 2

In relation to the site which forms the subject of Policy ED/Ho/2 on Mansfield Road in Edwinstowe it is not considered that this is sustainably located for the reasons which have been given. Therefore, in the light of the Authority's continued insistence on retaining this site as an allocation within the Plan despite the representations were made right up to the Publication Stage but have all been ignored it is considered that this element does not reflect the NPPF's presumption in favour of sustainable development as this location is not considered to be sustainable.