Matter 2 – General Issues / Representor 88 / Mr A Dorsey

Dear Louise,

Thank you for the update.

I would still like to object to matter 2- General Issues point 5 with regard to viability of allocated sites specifically the allocations of housing on the allotment site BI/Ho/4.

In the new proposed changes for housing development, the plan for Blidworth does not show or mention any site for the relocation of the allotments, there is a legal requirement to do so before any such planning allocation / application can be passed, otherwise the proposed development is in direct breach of the allotments act.

The new site for the allotments should be suitable for growing and specifically the soil should be suitable for growing, therefore by not showing the proposed new site how can the site be deemed suitable for relocation of the allotments, so by default the plan is not sound.

I would also like to object to general issues point 4 with regards to testing of reasonable alternatives, why has the Playing fields site leading to Sherwood Avenue and Belle Vue Lane not been fully considered as an alternative site for both BL/Ho/4 and BL/Ho/1? This area has not been used for playing fields for many years. This area is a natural site for the expansion of the village envelope and the road network could easily be provided with the continuation of Sherwood Avenue to meet up with a continuation of Belle Vue Lane and additional access could again be easily provided via Central Avenue to bisect the site.

Yours faithfully.

A.Dorsev