

STRATEGIC HOUSING AND EMPLOYMENT LAND AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT

SOUTHWELL AREA

2017

Edingley

Parish: Edingley	Ward: Southwell
Suitability Conclusion:	Not suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Site not suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
Approximately 73% of the site	lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential
development should be resiste	d. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2.
Development on this site will n	eed to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site i
subject to varied levels of risk of	of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to
satisfactorily address this and r	provide suitable mitigation if necessary. The site lies within an

area of former coal mining activity. Any development in this defined area needs to demonstrate that the development will be safe and stable taking full account of former coal mining activities. However, the site lies outside of the main built up area, within open countryside and is therefore contrary to Policy.

SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Not suitable			
Location: Outside of Main Built Up Area	PDL/Greenfield PDL/Greenfield		
Area Character: Mainly agricultural	Area: PDL Area: Greenfield		
Setting : Countryside			
Current Use: Mixture of agriculture/grazing and	residential		
Policy: Not suitable			
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Constraints:		
Conflicting Issues: Countryside			
Access to Services : May be suitable			
C C	Within 30 mins travel by public transport Secondary School: Yes Retail Area:		

GP/Health Centre: NoCash Machine Post
Office:Further Education: NoHospital: NoStore of Local Importance:Supermarket:Employment: YesProximity to town centre: Over 1km from a
town centre. 5314mProximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space

Green Space Strategy Comments: 655m

Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints: No** Contaminated Land?: No Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Yes Moderate Site Apparatus: No Neighbour Issues: No Flood Zone: 73% Flood Zone 3, 3% Flood Zone 2 Surface Water Flooding: 1.5% of site at high risk, 2% at Medium risk, 9% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

Suitability Conclusion: Not suitanle

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY Availability Comments: Achievability Comments: Site not suitable Ownership Constraints: Legal Issues: Legal Comments:

Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Timescale:

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available

Achievability Conclusion: N/A

Additional Comments

Within coal mining reporting area. 100% in development low risk area

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,930 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Site Reference Number: 16_0075 Site Address Nort Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Parish: Edingley		rth of Main St Area (Ha): Ward: Sout	0.57
Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion: Availability Comments:	Not Suitable Available		
Achievability Conclusions: Achievability Comments: OVERALL CONCLUSION:	N/A Not Suitable		
The site forms part of a Main Oper suitable.	n Are within the	village and is	therefore not considered
SUITABILITY			
Character Land Use Location: May	be Suitable		
Location: Adjacent settlement	F	PDL/Greenfiel	d Greenfield
Area Character: Agricultural / resid		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.57 ha
Setting : Countryside / residential. Opposite St. Giles Church and adjacent Edingley Beck.			
Current Use: Grazing			
Policy: Not Suitable			
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8 So/	A/MOA (Other Policy C	onstraints: SoA/MOA
Conflicting Issues: SoA/MOA - Main Open Area			
Access to Services : May be Suitab	le		

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
	Office:		
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: No
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major	
town centre. 4445m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 107m
publicly accessible gree	n space		

Physical Constraints : May be Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where

Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Maybe Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Yes Moderate Site Apparatus: Telegraph line and poles cross Neighbour Issues: Evidence of archaeological the site diagonally with an electricity substation features in the field to north-east. mounted on a pole in the south-east corner. Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 4% of site at high risk, 13% at Medium risk, 58% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: EdingleyFP1 / EdingleyFP1 / EdingleyFP5 / EdingleyFP5
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site Adjacent to Edir	ngley Beck.
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Not Suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	

Availability Conclusion: Available

Achievability Conclusion: N/A

Additional Comments

Within coal mining reporting area. 100% in development low risk area





© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:990 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Farnsfield

Site Reference Number: 16_0070 S Housing/Employment/Both: Housi		outhwell Road Area (Ha):	
Parish: Farnsfield	цġ	Ward: Fari	
		wara. Fan	
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable		
Availability Conclusion:	Available w	ithin 5 Years	
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is e	conomically via	ble/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence	e to suggest the	site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:			
Outline planning permission grante	ed on site. Th	e site is both a	vailable and achievable. Any
future applications will need to add	dress the follo	owing issues.	
SUITABILITY			
			
Character Land Use Location: Suita	ble		
Leasting Approximately Γ^{0} of the site is within		DDI /Croopfie	ld Croopfield
Location: Approximately 5% of the s the Village Envelope	site is within	PDL/Greenfie	la Greenneia
the village Envelope		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 1.73
Area Character: Agricultural / reside	ontial	Aled. PDL	Alea. Greenneid 1.75
Area Character: Agricultural / Teside			
Setting : Countryside / residential			
Current Use: Agriculture/grazing			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Within Village	e Envelope /	Other Policy (Constraints:
edge of settlement	. ,		

|--|

Access to Services : Suit	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	s walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
	Office:		
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from major
town centre. 5918m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments:
publicly accessible gree	n space		

Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Direct from unclassified. Highway design should comply with the

Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus: Telegraph poles and line traverses the site from north to south.		Neighbour Issues: No
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 4.4% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Viability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

14/01469/OUTM granted on apppeal for 48 dwellings. An alternative application

(15/01516/OUTM) has been received for the erection of up to 48 dwellings (access only) and was pending consideration at the time of writing.

Within coal mining reporting area. 100% in development low risk area

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



Site Reference Number: 16_0071 S (Fa/Ho/1)	ite Address The Ridgeway/Milldale Road, Farnsfield
Housing/Employment/Both: Housi	ng Area (Ha): 2.6
Parish: Farnsfield	Ward: Farnsfield
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years

Availability conclusion.	Available within 5 rears
Availability Comments:	Site has Outline Planning Permission
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/acheivable
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable
OVERALL CONCLUCION.	

OVERALL CONCLUSION:

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Any future application would need to address and if necessary mitigate against potential contamination issues in the locality and take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. The site lies within an area of former coal mining activity. Any development in this defined area needs to demonstrate that the development will be safe and stable taking full account of former coal mining activities.

S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Village Envelope	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Character: Agricultural / residential	Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 2.6 ha	
Setting : Countryside / residential		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated - Fa/Ho/1	Other Policy Constraints: N/A planning permission granted	
Conflicting Issues: N/A		

Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins	s walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce: Yes	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town cent	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from major
town centre. 6522m		public transport node	

Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space

Green Space Strategy Comments: 408m

Physical Constraints : Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Access agreed as part of planning permission		
Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Maybe		n Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage tified in close proximity to the site
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 0.1% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: Farnsfield FP14
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Site has Outline Planning Permission

Achievability Comments:

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/acheivable

Additional Comments

Outline permission (14/01576/OUTM) has been granted for the erection of a maximum of 60 dwellings and garages, public open space and demolition of curtilage buildings.

Within coal mining reporting area. 100% in development low risk area



Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Parish: Farnsfield		Area (Ha): 0.81 Ward: Farnsfield	
Suitability Conclusion:	Not suitable		
Availability Conclusion:	Available		
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A		
Achievability Comments:	Not Suitable		

Suitable access is unavailable within site frontage. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. Any possible development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site and the sites location within the Conservation Area. The site lies within an area of former coal mining activity. Any development in this defined area needs to demonstrate that the development will be safe and stable taking full account of former coal mining activities. Whilst the site lies within the Village Envelope, highways constraints mean that this site is not suitable..

S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Village Envelope	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.81
Setting : Residential		
Current Use: Residential garden / orchard		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Within Village Envelope	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		

Access to Services : Suit	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr town centre. 6406m	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No public transport node	ode: Over 1km from major

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space

Green Space Strategy Comments: 476m

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Suitable access is unavailable within site frontage.		
Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site	
Agricultural land quality : N/a	Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus: No	Neighbour Issues: No	
Flood Zone:	Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:		

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: FarnsfieldFP9
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site No	
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed Barn within and adjacent to site. Grade II Listed Cottage adjacent to site.

Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Not	Suitable
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 Years	Availability Other Issues:

Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

 Viability Comments:

 Availability Conclusion:
 Available

 Achievability Conclusion:
 N/A

 Additional Comments
 Image: Conclusion Conclusicon Conclusi Conclusi Conclusion Conclusion Conclusio Conclusio C

Within coal mining reporting area. 100% in development low risk area



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,240 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Rolleston

ble able within 5 Years ne permission for residential development of up to two site is economically viable/achievable vidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point ssion. The site is both available and achievable. Any s and if necessary mitigate against any of the issues
ne permission for residential development of up to two site is economically viable/achievable vidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point ssion. The site is both available and achievable. Any
site is economically viable/achievable vidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point ssion. The site is both available and achievable. Any
vidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point ssion. The site is both available and achievable. Any
vidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point ssion. The site is both available and achievable. Any
ssion. The site is both available and achievable. Any
-
PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area: PDL 0.06 Area: Greenfield

Policy: Suitable

Current Policy Status: SP3

Other Policy Constraints:

Conflicting Issues:

Access to Services : Suit	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr town centre. 5322m	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No public transport node	ode: Within 1km of major
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Comments: 69m	

Physical Constraints : Suitable

Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).
Topography Constraints: No
Contaminated Land?: No
Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 2 Very Good	Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: No	Neighbour Issues: Site gains access on a tight bend in the village
Flood Zone: 0.5% Flood Zone 3, 22% Flood Zone 2	Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk
Identified within the SFRA?:	
SFRA Comments:	

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed Village Cross adjacent to site.	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

Achievability Comments:

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Outline permission for residential development of up to two new dwellings has been granted

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 Years	Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

Outline permission for residential development of up to two new dwellings has been granted (16/00202/OUT)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:310 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Southwell

Area (Ha): 0.56 Ward: Southwell surface water flooding, any proposals would table mitigation if necessary. Any developmen hwell Protected Views. Access off Park Lane uilt up area, within open countryside and is
able mitigation if necessary. Any developmen hwell Protected Views. Access off Park Lane
able mitigation if necessary. Any developmen hwell Protected Views. Access off Park Lane
able mitigation if necessary. Any developmen hwell Protected Views. Access off Park Lane
able mitigation if necessary. Any developmen hwell Protected Views. Access off Park Lane
able mitigation if necessary. Any developmen hwell Protected Views. Access off Park Lane
able mitigation if necessary. Any developmen hwell Protected Views. Access off Park Lane
PDL/Greenfield Greenfield
Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 0.56

Conflicting Issues:

Access to Services : Sui	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr town centre. 1054m	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No public transport node	ode: Over 1km from major
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	mments: 7m

Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Access off Park Lane only. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: Land slopes up to south and the western boundary along the A612

Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus: None		Neighbour Issues: Rugby club to west has floodlights
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 0.6% of site at high risk, 0.9% at Medium risk, 2.7% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable

Impact on views: The site is relatively low lying and filtered from views from the south by intervening vegetation. If developed it could form part of a visual mass of development, rather than imposing a prominent element to the view from the south to the Minster. Further exploration of potential impact on views of the heritage assets within Southwell, consideration of scale, mass, siting, and proposed mitigation measures would need to be made before an assessment of inclusion of this site can be made.	Impact on existing recreational use: No		
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:		
Natural Features on site Some trees within site. Drainage ditch along Park Lane boundary.			
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):		

Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Not Suitable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: Available		
Achievability Conclusion: N/A		

Additional Comments

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



Site Reference Number: 16_0142Site Address East of Allenby Road (So/Ho/1)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 2.63Parish: SouthwellWard: Southwell

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	Allocated
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION.	

OVERALL CONCLUSION:

Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation where necessary. The presence of trees with Preservation Orders could prevent part of the site being developed. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable.

SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Countryside/Residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 2.63
Setting : Countryside/Residential		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/Ho/1	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A		

Access to Services : Suitable				
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes	
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No	
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 1408m		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node		
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 540m	

Physical Constraints : Suitable				
Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints:				
Contaminated Land?: No		n Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is tified at the site or surrounding areas		
	,	0		
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Good	rade 2 Very	Access to Utilities:		
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:		
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 5% of site at high risk,		
Identified within the SFRA?:		6% at medium risk, 11% at low risk		
SFRA Comments:				

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: SouthwellFP29 / SouthwellFP29 / SouthwellFP32	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N146 - Group 1 with 2 individual trees in linear band through site and Group 2 plus 6 individual trees on eastern boundary. Approx 8% of site	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Allocated

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments


© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,610 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Site Reference Number: 16_0143Site Address South of Halloughton Road (So/Ho/2)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 1.66Parish: SouthwellWard: Southwell

5	Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
ļ	Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
ļ	Availability Comments:	
ļ	Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
4	Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable

OVERALL CONCLUSION:

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Any development on this site will need to demonstrate that it will not negatively impact on the views of heritge assets in accordance with policy So/PV.

SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Mixed
Area Character: Residential/Countryside	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield
Setting : Residential/Countryside		
Current Use: Residential Garden and greenfield		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/Ho/2	Other Policy Cor pending on part	nstraints: So/PV - Application of site
Conflicting Issues: N/A		

Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking

Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Office: Store of Local Importance: Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 1718m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space

Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Secondary School: Yes Further Education: No Retail Area: Yes Hospital: No

Supermarket: Yes Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 263m

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints:

Contaminated Land?: No

Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas

Surface Water Flooding: 0.2% at low risk

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Access to Utilities: Moderate

Site Apparatus:

-

Neighbour Issues:

Flood Zone:

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY Availability Comments: Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable

Ownership Constraints:Ownership Comments:Legal Issues:Legal Comments:Timescale: 0-5 yearsAvailability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

15/01295/FULM Pending application with resolution to grant subject to the Signing of a Section 106 agreement. Proposed residential development of 38 dwellings and conversion and extension of existing residential property to form 12 supported living units.

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,710 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Site Reference Number: 16_0144Site Address East of Kirklington Road (So/Ho/4)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 1.94Parish: SouthwellWard: Southwell

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to take account of and address any detrimental impact on any potential protected species habitats.

SUITABILITY

Store of Local Importance:

publicly accessible green space

town centre. 1184m

Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from

Character Land Use Location: Suitable				
Location: Within Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield N	PDL/Greenfield Mixed	
Area Character: Residential/Open Space		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield	
Setting : Countryside/Re	Setting : Countryside/Residential			
Current Use: Residential/Former allotments/Open Space				
Policy: Suitable				
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/Ho/4		•	straints: Application for t of site withdrawn	
Conflicting Issues: N/A				
Access to Services : Suit	able			
Within 800m or 10mins Primary School: No GP/Health Centre: No	•	Within 30 mins trav Secondary School: Y Further Education: 1		
	Office:			

Supermarket: Yes Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 514m

Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: No Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas Agricultural land quality : 40.69% Grade 2 Very Access to Utilities: Good, 59.31% Grade 3 Good - Moderate Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 2% at medium risk, 6% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments: Multiple owners
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 5-10 years	Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5-10 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

16/01352/OUT Application for 9 houses on part of site withdrawn.

Note: The allocation covers a wider area to allow for a buffer zone to the edge ogf the settlementt



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,880 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Site Reference Number: 16_0145Site Address Off Lower Kirklington Road (So/Ho/5)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 3.25Parish: SouthwellWard: Southwell

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	Outline permission on part of site for 12 dwellings
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated and part has the benefit of Outline Permission. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. Any possible development would need to take account of and address any detrimental impact on any potential protected species habitats.

SUITABILITY

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield Gr	eenfield
		Area: PDL A	rea: Greenfield 3.25
Area Character: Country	/side/Residential		
Setting : Countryside/Re	esidential		
Current Use: Vacant gre	enfield		
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/Ho/5		Other Policy Const part of site for 12 o	raints: Outline permission on dwellings
Conflicting Issues: N/A			
Access to Services : Suitable			
Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins trave	el by public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Ye	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: N	o Hospital: No
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transpo	rt Node: Over 1km from major
town centre. 1286m		public transport node	2

Green Space Strategy Comments: 616m

publicly accessible green space

Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: Maybe Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site Agricultural land quality : 91.70% Grade 2 Very Access to Utilities: Good, 8.30% Grade 3 Good - Moderate Site Apparatus: Neighbour Issues: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 3% at medium risk, 19% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: SouthwellFP57 / SouthwellFP57 / SouthwellFP58	
Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY Availability Comments: Outline permission on part of site for 12 dwellings Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

15/00475/OUTM Outline permission granted for a maximum of 12 dwellings on part of site.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:3,200 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Site Reference Number: 16_0146 Site Address Southwell Depot (So/Ho/7)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 0.38Parish: SouthwellWard: Southwell

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL		
Area Character: Countryside / residential Setting : Countryside / residential	Area: PDL 0.38 Area: Greenfield		
Current Use: Former Highways depot			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/Ho/7	Other Policy Constraints:		
Conflicting Issues:			

Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Further Education: No Hospital: No Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from a Proximity to town centre: Within 1km of a major public transport node Town Centre, 973m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of Green Space Strategy Comments: 269m publicly accessible green space

Access to Services : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Access for upto 15 dwellings could be taken direct from Fiskerton Road. For development above that level, ideally the access from Fiskerton Road into the application site should be closed off and reinstated as verge, and access into the site taken from Cottams Close. However, it is understood that the verge on the south side of Cottams Close is not part of the adopted public highway, and this would need to be crossed to gain access to the application site. It is understood that this verge is unregistered land. A legal process could be commenced to seek the adoption of this verge as public highway under Section 228 of the Highways Act 1980. This process involves the displaying of public notices and invites any objections. So the outcome is not guaranteed and the process could only reasonably be started if the developer considered it as a positive approach to gaining access.

Topography Constraints:

Contaminated Land?: Yes

Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site

Surface Water Flooding: 6% of the site at low

Access to Utilities:

Neighbour Issues:

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - moderate

Site Apparatus:

Flood Zone:

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views: No	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order: No	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

risk

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

16/01304/FUL Application for 9 dwellings recently refused



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:810 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Site Reference Number: 16_0147Site Address Land to the East of Crew Lane (So/E/2)Housing/Employment/Both: EmploymentArea (Ha): 2.72Parish: SouthwellWard: Southwell

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Availability Comments:	Proposals for the Southwell By Pass are no longer included	
within the County Council's Transp	port Plan. The line of the By Pass will not now require protection	
and the boundaries of this site cou	Id be amended to better reflect features on the ground.	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
The site is allocated for employment development and is suitable subject to appropriate		

The site is allocated for employment development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Proposals for the Southwell By Pass are no longer included within the County Council's Transport Plan. The line of the By Pass will not now require protection and the boundaries of this site could be amended to better reflect features on the ground. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site.

SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Character: Employment/Countryside Setting : Countryside	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 2.72
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/E/2	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A		
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/E/2	Other Policy Co	nstraints:

Access to Services : SuitableWithin 800m or 10mins walkingWithin 30 mins travel by public transportPrimary School: YesBus Stop: NoSecondary School: YesRetail Area: YesGP/Health Centre: YesCash Machine Post
Office:Further Education: NoHospital: No

Store of Local Importance:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transpor	t Node: Over 1km from major
town centre. 8597m	public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of	Green Space Strategy	Comments: 82m
publicly accessible green space		

Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints:

Contaminated Land?: Yes

has been identified at the site

Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage

Surface Water Flooding: 33% of site at high risk,

50% at medium risk, 71% at low risk

Access to Utilities:

Neighbour Issues:

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Moderate

Site Apparatus:

Flood Zone: 38.5% Flood Zone 2

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: SouthwellFP2	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Proposals for the Southwell By Pass are no longer included within the County Council's Transport Plan. The line of the By Pass will not now require protection and the boundaries of this site could be amended to better reflect features on the ground.

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0 - 5 Years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Achievability Conclusion: T	The site is economically viable/achievable	

Additional Comments

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



Site Reference Number: 16_0148Site Address South of Crew Lane (So/E/3)Housing/Employment/Both: EmploymentArea (Ha): 2.18Parish: SouthwellWard: Southwell

ded
ction
point

The site is allocated for employment development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Proposals for the Southwell By Pass are no longer included within the County Council's Transport Plan. The line of the By Pass will not now require protection and the boundaries of this site could be amended to better reflect features on the ground. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

SUITABILITY

Access to Services : Suitable

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Character: Countryside/Employment	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 2.18
Setting : Countryside		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated So/E/3	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A		

Within 800m or 10mins	swalking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport N	ode: Over 1km from major

town centre. 8522m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 218m

Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: Maybe Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Moderate Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 0.4% of site at high risk, 0.5% at medium risk, 3.1% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Proposals for the Southwell By Pass are no longer included within the County Council's Transport Plan. The line of the By Pass will not now require protection and the boundaries of this site could be amended to better reflect features on the ground.

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,920 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Site Reference Number: 16_0173 Site Address Land at Crew Lane / Fiskerton Road, Southwell Housing/Employment/Both: Area (Ha): 15.55 Housing/Employment **Parish: Southwell** Ward: Southwell **Suitability Conclusion:** Not Suitable **Availability Conclusion:** Available Availability Comments: Achievability Conclusions: N/A Achievability Comments: Site not suitable **OVERALL CONCLUSION:**

Access to the site is constrained with poor connectivity and sustainability. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. However, highways constraints in this location mean this site is not suitable.

SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be Suitable				
Location: Adjacent Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield	PDL/Greenfield 15.55	
Area Character: Country	vside	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 15.55	
Setting : Countryside				
Current Use: Agricultura	Current Use: Agricultural			
Policy: May be Suitable				
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8		Other Policy Cor	nstraints:	
Conflicting Issues: Countryside				
Access to Services : Ma	y be Suitable			
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins tra	vel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School:	Yes Retail Area:	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education:	No Hospital: No	
Store of Local Important	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Trans	port Node: Over 1km from major	
		public transport no	ode	
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from G		Green Space Strate	egy Comments: 559m	
publicly accessible gree	n space			

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Access to the site is constrained with poor connectivity and sustainability. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes Agricultural land quality : Contaminated Land quality : Access to Utilities: Site Apparatus: Neighbour Issues:

Flood Zone: A very small area of this site (less than 0.2%) is in Flood Zone 2

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: SouthwellFP2 / SouthwellBW5 / SouthwellFP6	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: Site not suitable

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk,

2% at medium risk, 7% at low risk

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available

Achievability Conclusion: N/A

Additional Comments

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:4,850 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Thurgarton

House	
Parish: Thurgarton	Ward: Dover Beck
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion: Availability Comments:	Available within 5 Years
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable
At the time of assessment the s consideration. The site has full would need to address and if n	site has an application for the erection of 4 dwellings pending planning permission for two dwellings. Any future application ecessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below.The
consideration. The site has full	planning permission for two dwellings. Any future application ecessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below. The
At the time of assessment the s consideration. The site has full would need to address and if n site is both available and achiev	planning permission for two dwellings. Any future application ecessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below.The vable.
At the time of assessment the s consideration. The site has full would need to address and if n site is both available and achiev SUITABILITY	planning permission for two dwellings. Any future application ecessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below.The vable.

Setting : Residential

Current Use: Public House

Policy: Suitable

Current Policy Status: SP3

Other Policy Constraints:

Conflicting Issues:

Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking

Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Office: Store of Local Importance: Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 5186m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space

Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Secondary School: Yes Further Education: No Retail Area: Hospital: No

Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 166m

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: Site slopes steeply up from road to the north-west.

Contaminated Land?: No		Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is fied at the site or surrounding areas
Agricultural land quality : 100% Gr Moderate	ade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: Public house with plus car parking.	outbuildings	Neighbour Issues: No
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 6% at Medium risk, 32% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

An application (16/00965/FUL) for the retention of 'The Red Lion' public house and the construction of 4 new dwellings was pending consideration at the time of writing.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:710 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Site Reference Number: 16_0081 Site Address Priory Farm, Thurgarton Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Area (Ha): 0.39 Parish: Thurgarton Ward: Dover Beck Suitability Conclusion: Suitable Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
The site has the benefit of Full Permission. The site is both available and achievable. Any future		
application would need to address and if necessary mitigate against any of the issues identified		

SUITABILITY

below.

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within settlen	nent	PDL/Greenfield PDL	
		Aroa: DDL 0.20 Aroa: Cu	roonfield
Area: PDL 0.39 Area: Greenfield Area Character: Residential			leenneid
Setting : Residential / countryside			
Current Use: Vacant			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: SP3		Other Policy Constraint	:s:
Conflicting Issues:			
Access to Services : Suit	able		
Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	-	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:		
			Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr		•	ode: Over 1km from major

Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space

Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 101m

Physical Constraints : Suitable

town centre. 5375m

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's

design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Maybe Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Yes Moderate Site Apparatus: Range of former farm buildings. Neighbour Issues: Site access in a narrow part of the main road. Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 0.1% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed Pigeoncote And Attached Stabling And Granary At Manor Farm House adjacent site. Grade II Listed Manor Farm House adjacent site.	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:Achievability Comments:No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this pointOwnership Constraints:Ownership Comments:Legal Issues:Legal Comments:Timescale:0-5 YearsAvailability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

Permission has been granted for residential development for 7 units on this site (16/00529/FUL).



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:790 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Upton

Housing/Employment/Both: H	ousing Area (Ha): 0.31
Parish: Upton	Ward: Trent
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	This site has an extant permission which has not been built
out	· ·
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
future applications will need to address any potential	granted on site. The site is both available and achievable. Any address the following issues. Any possible development would mpact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. Any account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.
future applications will need to need to address any potential development will need to take	address the following issues. Any possible development would mpact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. Any account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.
future applications will need to need to address any potential development will need to take SUITABILITY	address the following issues. Any possible development would mpact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. Any account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.
future applications will need to need to address any potential development will need to take SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: S Location: Adjacent Village	address the following issues. Any possible development would mpact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. Any account of the sites location within the Conservation Area. uitable
future applications will need to need to address any potential development will need to take SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: S	address the following issues. Any possible development would mpact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. Any account of the sites location within the Conservation Area. uitable PDL/Greenfield Mixed
future applications will need to need to address any potential development will need to take SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: S Location: Adjacent Village	address the following issues. Any possible development would mpact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. Any account of the sites location within the Conservation Area. uitable PDL/Greenfield Mixed

Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8

Other Policy Constraints: Extant permission

Conflicting Issues:

Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking

Primary School: No Bus Stop: No GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Office: Store of Local Importance: Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 3539m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space

Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Secondary School: No Further Education: No Retail Area: Hospital: No

Supermarket: Employment: No Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 289m

Highway Engineers Comments: Access agreed as part of planning permission		
Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Moderate		Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 0.3% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		
Landscano, Piodivorsity and Ruilt	Horitago Constr	rainte : Suitabla

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed Threshing Barn within and adjacent to site.	

Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: This site has an extant permission which has not been built out

Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable

Ownership Constraints:

Legal Issues:

Timescale: 0-5 Years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Comments:

Availability Other Issues:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

Additional Comments

Old permission for residential development on this site

