

# STRATEGIC HOUSING AND EMPLOYMENT LAND AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT

# NEWARK AND RURAL SOUTH SUB-AREA (1) 2017

Averham

Site Reference Number: 16_01 Staythorpe Road, Averham	78 Site Addres	s Land east of Staythorpe Road / west of
Housing/Employment/Both: He	ousing	Area (Ha): 2.21
Parish: Averham		Ward: Trent
Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	May be s Available	uitable within 5 Years
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	

# **OVERALL CONCLUSION:**

If this site is considered to form part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test.

## SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable		
Location: Adjacent village	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Countryside / residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 2.21
Setting : Countryside / residential		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: May be suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		

#### Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: No Bus Stop: No Secondary School: No Retail Area: Further Education: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Hospital: No Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from a Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 5987m major public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 470m publicly accessible green space

Access to Services : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Acceptable but access to be taken from Staythorpe Road only			
Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas		
Agricultural land quality : 100% Gr Good	ade 2 Very	Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone: 26% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: Very low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use:	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

# Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Y	ears	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is economic	cally viable/achievable	

# Additional Comments



Housing/Employment/Both: Ho Parish: Averham	ousing	Area (Ha): 0.48 Ward: Trent	
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable		
Availability Conclusion:	Available		
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A		
Achievability Comments:	Not suitable		
Achievability Comments: OVERALL CONCLUSION:	Not suitable		

# **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable		
Location: Adjacent village	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Countryside / residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.48
Setting : Countryside / residential		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: May be suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		

Within 800m or 10min	s walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ice:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from a	
town centre. 5487m		major public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 373m

# **Physical Constraints : Not Suitable**

Access to Services : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Unnacceptable due to access junction spacing and contrary to 6C's

design guide Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 2 Very Good		Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: Very low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use:	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

# Suitability Conclusion: Not suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Not suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion: Available	
Achievability Conclusion: N/A	

# **Additional Comments**

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



Site Reference Number: 16_02	180 Site Address Ma	nor Farm off Staythorpe Road, Averham
Housing/Employment/Both: H	lousing	Area (Ha): 0.73
Parish: Averham		Ward: Trent
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available	

Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Not Suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

Unacceptable due to access junction spacing with Ayrshire Way. Approximately 6% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Highways and flooding constraints mean this site is not suitable.

#### SUITABILITY

town centre. 5498m

Character Land Use Loca	ation: Maybe suitable			
Location: Within village		PDL/Greenfield PDL		
Area Character: Residen	tial with some agricultu	Area: PDL 0.73 Area: Gr ure	eenfield	
Setting : Residential				
Current Use: Agriculture				
Policy: Maybe suitable				
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8		Other Policy Constraints:		
Conflicting Issues:				
Access to Services : Maybe suitable				
Within 800m or 10mins Primary School: Yes GP/Health Centre: No	<b>walking</b> Bus Stop: No Cash Machine Post	Within 30 mins travel by p Secondary School: Yes Further Education: Yes	-	

Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from a major public transport node Green Space Standards: Within 400m of Green Space Strategy Comments: 284m publicly accessible green space

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable			
Highway Engineers Comments: Unacceptable due to access junction spacing with Ayrshire Way			
Topography Constraints:			
Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas		
Agricultural land quality : 43.92% Grade 2 Very Good, 56.08% Grade 3 Good - Moderate		Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone: 6% Flood Zone 3, 28%	6 Flood Zone 2	Surface Water Flooding: 1% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable			
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use:		
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:		
Natural Features on site			
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):		

# Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Not Suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion: Available	

Achievability Conclusion: N/A

**Additional Comments** 



SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)

© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,170 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Housing/Employment/Both: He Parish: Averham		ea (Ha): 0.77 ard: Trent
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A	
Achievability Comments:	Not Suitable	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
This site does not have a suitable access. Part of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood		
Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Any		
development will need to take account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.		
Highways constraints mean this site is not suitable.		

# SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable			
Location: Adjacent village	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield		
Area Character: Countryside / residential Setting : Countryside / residential Current Use: Agriculture	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.77	
Policy: May be suitable			
	Other Delieu Co	natrojato.	
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	iistraints.	
Conflicting Issues:			
Access to Services : May be suitable			

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 5637m		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from a major public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Comments: 371m	

# **Physical Constraints : Not Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Not acceptable due to poor access Topography Constraints:			
Contaminated Land?: No		Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is fied at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% Gr Moderate	ade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone: 29% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: Very low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

# Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitableImpact on views:Impact on existing recreational use:Protected Species/Habitats :Tree Preservation Order:Natural Features on siteHeritage asset (designated & non designated):

# Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Not Suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion: Available	
Achievability Conclusion: N/A	

# **Additional Comments**

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,120 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

**Balderton** 

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0001Site Address Land off Denton Close, BaldertonHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha):1.56Parish: BaldertonWard: Balderton South

Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Site Not Suitable

OVERALL CONCLUSION:

Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Any possible development would need to mitigate against any detrimental impact to the adjacent Local Wildlife Site(Sinc) and local sewage works. The presence of trees with Preservation Orders could prevent part of the site being developed. However, the site lies mainly within Environment Agency flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted which makes the site not suitable for development.

## S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be Suitable				
Location: Outside Urban Area, Adj to boundary	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield			
Area Character: Residential/Countryside	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 1.56 Ha		
Setting : Countryside				
Current Use: Agriculture/grazing				
Policy: May be Suitable				
Current Policy Status: Outside NUA SP3 DM8	Other Policy Constraints:			
Conflicting Issues: Outside Urban Boundary				

# Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office: Yes	Further Education:	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr town centre	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No major public transport no	
Green Space Standards: publicly accessible gree		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 464m

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Indirect from unclassified road.		assified road.
Topography Constraints: No		
Contaminated Land?: Maybe		Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage ified in close proximity to the site.
Agricultural land quality : 98.8% N Urban, 0.02% Grade 3 Good - Mo		Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: None		Neighbour Issues: Water reclamation works to west. Sewage works to south.
Flood Zone: 80.5% Flood Zone 3 p Zone 2	olus 3% Flood	Surface Water Flooding: 3% of site at high risk, 8% at Medium risk, 57% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats : Abuts Sinc 2/803 - Lowfield Lane Grasslands, Balderton. Indirect impacts might occur.	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N101 - Large group of trees and boundary trees to all but eastern edge. Constrains approx 40% of the site
Natural Features on site Drainage ditches	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

# Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments: Yes	
Availability comments. res	
Achievability Comments:	
Ownership Constraints: No	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments: Availability Conclusion: Available Achievability Conclusion: N/A Additional Comments

# SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,520 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0002 Site Address Bowbridge Lane, Balderton (NAP 2A Land South of Newark)

Housing/Employment/Both: Both

Parish: Newark; Balderton; Fernwood; Hawton

and South of Newark) Area (Ha): 281.52 Ward: Balderton South; Devon; Farndon and Fernwood

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5 years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/acheivable
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests the site should be viable
OVERALL CONCLUSION	

This site is Allocated as an Urban Extension to the South of Newark. It now also has the benefit of an Outline Planning Permission for housing, employment, local centres and open space etc which satisfactorily addresses the points raised below. The site is therefore suitable, available and achievable.

Any new applications, including Reserved Matters, will need to address the following issues. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Potential flooding issues in parts of the site, further information would be needed to justify development in some part of this large site. On and off site highways mitigation measures are likely to be required as part of development. Any development would need to mitigate against any detrimental impact of the development on the range of environmental and bult heritage features on and adjacent to the site.

## SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within and adjacent to Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Mixed
Area Character: Countrside; Urban; Village	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield
Setting : Countrside; Urban; Village		
Current Use: Agriculture; residential; employmen	t	
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated NAP 2A	Other Policy Cor Permission gran	nstraints: N/A Outline Planning ted
Conflicting Issues: N/A		
Access to Services : Suitable		

# Within 800m or 10mins walking Primary School: Yes Bus Stop: Yes

Within 30 mins travel by public transportSecondary School: YesRetail Area: Yes

GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Important Proximity to town centre town centre Green Space Standards: publicly accessible green	ce: e: Over 1km from a Within 400m of	Supermarket: Proximity to Transport Noo major public transport nod Green Space Strategy Com	e

# Physical Constraints : May be Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Suitable access agreed as part of planning permission

Topography Constraints:

Contaminated Land?: Yes		Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage ified at the site
Agricultural land quality : 34.99% Good, 59.18% Grade 3 Good - Mc Not Applicable - Urban		Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: Pylons Pass throug items may be sited within the area		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 32% Flood Zone 3, 9%	5 Flood Zone 2	Surface Water Flooding: 3% of site at high risk, 5% at Medium risk, 13% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

# Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May Be Suitable

Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: BaldertonFP11 / HawtonBW1 / HawtonFP2 / HawtonFP2 / HawtonBW3 / HawtonBW3 / HawtonBW4 / HawtonBW4 / HawtonBW4 / HawtonBW4 / HawtonFP5 / HawtonBW6
Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat. 2% of the site is Sinc 2/637 - Lowfield Grassland, Balderton. 1% of the site is Sinc 5/208 - Balderton Dismantled Railway South. 3% of the site is Sinc 5/222 - Hawton Tip Grassland. Less than 1% of the site is Sinc 2/750 - Ponds Mineral Line, Cotham. Less than 1% of the site is Sinc 5/1217 Staple Lane Ditch. Less than 1% of the site is Sinc 5/3431 - Grange Lane Drain. 1% of the site is Sinc 2/588 River Devon (North of Cotham).	Tree Preservation Order:

Natural Features on site Trees , Hedges, ditches etc

Conservation Area : No

Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes

**Ownership Comments:** 

Availability Other Issues:

Legal Comments:

# Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

## AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Site has the benefit of Outline Planning Permission

Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests the site should be viable

**Ownership Constraints:** 

Legal Issues:

Timescale: 0-5; 5-10;10-15 years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/acheivable

#### **Additional Comments**

14/01978/OUTM construction of up to 3,150 dwellings (Class 3); two local centres including retail and commercial premises (Classes A1 to A5), a 60 bed care home (Class 2), 2 primary schools, day nurseries/crèches, multi use community buildings including a medical centre (Class D1); a mixed use commercial estate of up to 50 hectares comprising employment uses (Class B1, B2 and B8) and a crèche (Class D1); provision of associated vehicular and cycle parking; creation of ecological habitat areas; creation of general amenity areas, open space and sports pitches; creation of landscaped areas; new accesses for vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists (including the Southern Link Road); sustainable drainage measures, including storage ponds for surface water attenuation; associated engineering operations (including flood compensation measures); provision of utilities infrastructure; and all enabling and ancillary works.

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:31,250 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Site Reference Number: 16_0003	
Housing/Employment/Both: Housi	
Parish: Balderton	Ward: Balderton North & Coddington
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 years
Availability Comments: 2018	The site has Full Planning Permission that expires in May
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/acheivable
Achievability Comments:	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
	nning Permission for the erection of 7 dwellings. The site is ny future application would need to address and if necessary
mitigate against any of the issues i	
SUITABILITY	
Character Land Use Location: Suita	ble
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Mixed
,	
	Area: PDL 0.01 Area: Greenfield 0.19
Area Character: Residential	
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Residential	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Within NUA	Other Policy Constraints: N/A Permission
	Granted
Conflicting Issues: N/A	
Access to Services : Suitable	
Within 800m or 10mins walking	Within 30 mins travel by public transport
Primary School: Yes Bus Stop:	
GP/Health Centre: No Cash Mac	hine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes
Office: Ye Store of Local Importance:	s Supermarket: Yes Employment: Yes

Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space Supermarket: YesEmployment: YesProximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from amajor public transport nodeGreen Space Strategy Comments: 748m

Highway Engineers Comments: Satisfactory Access identified through planning permission

Topography Constraints: None Contaminated Land?: Maybe

contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site however this did not restrict the grant of planning permission.

Agricultural land quality : None	Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: None	Neighbour Issues: ECML to north of site
Flood Zone: Flood Zone 1	Surface Water Flooding: 15% at Low risk of Surface Water Flooding
Identified within the SFRA?: No	Surface Water Hooding
SFRA Comments:	

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views: No	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats : No	Tree Preservation Order: Canopy of one tree from TPO N171 overhangs SW corner of site
Natural Features on site No	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

**Ownership Comments:** 

Availability Other Issues:

Legal Comments:

## Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

# AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Site has an extant Full Planning Permission

Achievability Comments:

Ownership Constraints: None

Legal Issues: None

Timescale: 0-5 years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 years

# Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/acheivable

# **Additional Comments**

Demolition of existing timber single storey residence.

Construction of 7 No. new houses in two phases; Houses 1 to 4 to be constructed first.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:610 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0004Site Address Main Street, Balderton. (Working Mens Club)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 0.36Parish: BaldertonWard: Balderton north & Coddington

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 years
Availability Comments:	Land currently for sale with planning permission
Achievability Conclusions:	Site is economically viable/acheivable.
Achievability Comments:	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site has the benefit of Full Planing Permission for a total of 9 dwellings . The site is both available and acheivable. Any future application would need to address and if necessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below.

# S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL		
Area Character: Residential	Area: PDL 0.36 Area: Greenfield		
Setting : Urban			
Current Use: Vacant Working Mens Club			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints: N/A Permission Granted		
Conflicting Issues: N/A			

Access to Services : Suitable				
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office: Yes	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes	
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centr town centre	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No major public transport no		
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 313m	

**Physical Constraints : Suitable** 

Highway Engineers Comments: Suitable access agreed as part of permission				
Topography Constraints: None Contaminated Land?: Maybe	Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site			
Agricultural land quality : 100% No Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities: Yes		
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:		
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: Very Low risk		
Identified within the SFRA?:				
SFRA Comments:				

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable			
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No		
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:		
Natural Features on site			
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed House Adjacent to site.		

# Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments: Land currently for sale with planning permission		
Achievability Comments: Land currently for sale with planning permission		
Ownership Constraints: No	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues: No	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: Availability within 5 ears		
Achievability Conclusion: The site is economi	cally viable/acheivable	

**Additional Comments** 

14/01714/FUL Change of use to 3 town houses and 6 new builds

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:620 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

### Site Reference Number: 16 0058 Site Address Cressey Holme Farm, Bullpit Lane Housing/Employment/Both: Housing, Industrial Area (Ha): 2.83 (light) and retail **Parish: Balderton** Ward: Balderton North & Coddington Suitability Conclusion: Not suitable Availability Conclusion: Available Availability Comments: Achievability Conclusions: N/A Achievability Comments: Site not suitable **OVERALL CONCLUSION:** If the Urban Boundary designation is changed through the development plan process this site could be considered suitable subject to appropriate mitigation works. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. However, the road network around the site is under a capacity strain and therefore the site is unsuitable. S**UITABILITY** Character Land Use Location: May be suitable Location: Adjacent Urban Boundary PDL/Greenfield PDL Area: PDL 2.83 Ha Area: Greenfield Area Character: Railway to west, mainly open farmland Setting : Residential/Employment/Countryside Current Use: industrial and agricultural Policy: May be suitable Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8 Other Policy Constraints: Conflicting Issues: Countryside Access to Services : Suitable Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Primary School: Yes Retail Area: GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: **Employment: Yes** Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre, 4059m public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 885m

publicly accessible green space
Physical Constraints : Not suitable				
Highway Engineers Comments: Direct access is possible to the local road, but beyond that the network is under a capacity strain. Therefore site should be resisted. Topography Constraints: No				
Contaminated Land?: Yes	ontaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contamination has been identified at the site			
Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Moderate		Access to Utilities: Electricity and water		
Site Apparatus: Range of industrial buildings on site. Substation on western boundary, near buildings.		Neighbour Issues: Railway line to west. If industrial use is retained, they could create noise and other problems for housing on the agricultural part of the site.		
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 1% at Medium risk, 11% at low risk		
Identified within the SFRA?:				
SFRA Comments:				

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

## Suitability Conclusion: Not suitable

## AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:			
Achievability Comments: Site not suitable			
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:		
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:		
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:		

Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion:	Available
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A
Additional Comments	



# Site Reference Number: 16\_0061 Site Address Barnby Road, around Newstead LodgeHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 4.09Parish: BaldertonWard: Balderton North & Coddington

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Not Suitable Available
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Site not suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

If the Urban Boundary designation is changed through the development plan process this site could be considered suitable subject to appropriate mitigation works. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. However, although direct access is possible to the local road, beyond that the network is under a capacity strain and therefore the site is not suitable.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable				
Location: Adjacent to Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield Gree	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Charactery Mostly	agricultura, como rocio		a: Greenfield 4.09 Ha	
Area Character: Mostly	agriculture, some resid	dential, small part industri	di.	
Setting : Countryside				
Current Use: Agriculture				
Policy: May be suitable				
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8		Other Policy Constraints:		
Conflicting Issues: Countryside				
Access to Services : Suitable				
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel b	y public transport	
Primary School: Yes	•	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes	
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centr town centre. 3374m	-		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node	
Green Space Standards:	Green Space Standards: Within 400m of		omments: 117m	
publicly accessible gree	n space			

Physical Constraints : Not suitable			
Highway Engineers Comments: Direct access is possible to the local road, but beyond that the network is under a capacity strain. Therefore site should be resisted. Topography Constraints: No			
Contaminated Land?: Yes		n Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage ntified at the site	
Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Moderate		Access to Utilities: Yes	
Site Apparatus: No		Neighbour Issues: Industrial units on part of southern boundary.	
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 3% of site at high risk, 9% at Medium risk, 24% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:		570 at Wiediam H3K, 2470 at 10W H3K	
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

## Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Site not suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	

Availability Conclusion:	Available
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A
Additional Comments	



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,370 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Site Reference Number	• 16 0069 Sito Addrey	ss Bullnit Lang Baldorton	
Site Reference Number: 16_0069 Site Address Housing/Employment/Both: Housing		Area (Ha): 4.64	
Parish: Balderton		Ward: Balderton North & Coddington	
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suita	able	
Availability Conclusion:	Available	2	
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusio			
Achievability Comments		ible	
OVERALL CONCLUSION			· · ·
		t beyond that the network	• •
		contamination issues in the	-
-		art of the site is subject to v need to satisfactorily addre	
		e development would need	
-		dlife Site (Sinc). The site al	
•	-	and is therefore contrary to	
SUITABILITY			
Character Land Use Loc	ation: Not Suitable		
Location: Constant from	m Urban Boundary	DDL (Croonfield Croonfield	
Location: Separated from	in Orban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
		Area: PDL Area:	Greenfield 4.64
Area Character: Farm la	nd with Newark Urbar		
Setting : Countryside			
Current Use: Agricultura	al		
Policy: Not Suitable			
Current Policy Status: SI		Other Policy Constrain	ter
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8			lS.
Conflicting Issues:			
Access to Services : Suit	able		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No		Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
	Office:	- ·	
Store of Local Important		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major	
town centre. 4078m		public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 205m	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of		Green space strategy Cor	

publicly accessible green space

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable			
Highway Engineers Comments: Direct access is possible to the local road, but beyond that the network is under a capacity strain. Therefore site should be resisted. Topography Constraints:			
Contaminated Land?: Maybe Contamination		n Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage tified in close proximity to the site	
Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Moderate		Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 1% at Medium risk, 3% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints :			
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No		
Protected Species/Habitats : A very small part of the site abuts Sinc 5/333 -Balderton Ballast Pit. Indirect impacts might occur.	Tree Preservation Order:		
Natural Features on site			
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):		

## Suitability Conclusion:

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Not Suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 5-10 Years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	

 Availability Conclusion:
 Available

 Achievability Conclusion:
 N/A

 Additional Comments
 Image: Conclusion of the second of the



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,790 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

## Site Reference Number: 16\_0091Site Address Adj Salvation Army Hall, BaldertonHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 0.24Parish: BaldertonWard: Balderton South

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Site currently being marketed for sale
Availability Comments:	Available within 5 Years
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**OVERALL CONCLUSION:** 

The site is within the Urban Boundary and could be considered suitable subject to appropriate mitigation works. The site is both available and achievable. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.24 ha
Area Character: Residential to the north, countrys		
Setting : Countryside / residential		
Current line, Creating land ( agriculture)		
Current Use: Grazing land / agricultural		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Cor	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		

#### Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a		ode: Over 1km from major
town centre. 4434m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards: publicly accessible gree		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 105m

**Physical Constraints : Suitable** 

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is Contaminated Land?: No

yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas

Neighbour Issues:

Agricultural land quality : 100% Not Applicable -	Access to Utilities:	
Urban		

Site Apparatus:

Flood Zone:

Surface Water Flooding: 1.4% at low risk

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### **AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY**

Availability Comments: Site currently being marketed for sale

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**Ownership Constraints:** 

Legal Issues:

**Ownership Comments:** 

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 Years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Available within 5 Years Availability Conclusion:

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



## Site Reference Number: 16\_0118Site Address Flowserve, Hawton LaneHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 15.57Parish: BaldertonWard: Balderton South

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site has had the benefit of outline planning permission which has recently lapsed. The site is both available and acheivable. Access arrangements would need to reflect current standards. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Approximately 5% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to take account of and address any detrimental impact on any potential protected species habitats. Any possible development would need to mitigate against any detrimental impact to the adjacent Local Wildlife Site (Sinc). The presence of trees with Preservation Orders could prevent part of the site being developed. Any development of the site would need to take account of and site Site (Sinc). The presence of the site would need to the site would need to the site being developed. Any development of the site would need to take account of the site being developed. Any development of the site would need to take account of the site being developed. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL		
	Area: PDL 15.57 Area: Greenfield		
Area Character: Residential/leisure/industrial			
Setting : Residential/leisure/industrial			
6			
Current Use: Industrial			
Doligy Suitable			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints:		
current roncy status. Within orban boundary	Other Foncy constraints.		
Conflicting Issues:			
5			

#### Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walkingPrimary School: YesBus Stop: YesGP/Health Centre: NoCash Machine Post

#### Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Secondary School: No Further Education: No Retail Area: Yes Hospital: No

Office:
Store of Local Importance:
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a
town centre. 5696m
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of
publicly accessible green space

Supermarket: Yes Employment: No Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 163m

#### Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Access agreed as part of lapsed planning permission

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Yes		Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage ified at the site
Agricultural land quality : 99.30% - Moderate, 0.70% Not Applicable		Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: Many buildings or to the industrial use.	i site related	Neighbour Issues: No
Flood Zone: 5% Flood Zone 3, 30%	% Flood Zone 2	Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 3% at medium risk, 12% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views: No	Impact on existing recreational use: BaldertonFP11 / BaldertonFP11 / BaldertonFP13	
Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat. 8% of the site is Sinc 5/332 -Balderton Scrubby Grassland. The site abuts Sinc 5/208 - Balderton Dismantled Railway South and Sinc 2/804 -Balderton Works Meadow (I) . The site is very close to Sinc 5/2129 -Balderton Works Meadow (II). Indirect impacts may occur. Natural Features on site No	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N337 one tree on site frontage	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

## Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 5-10 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: A	vailable within 5-10 Years	
Achievability Conclusion: T	he site is economically viable/achievable	

## **Additional Comments**

Planning permission re 12/00561/OUTM for residential development and a new factory of the site lapsed in March 2016.

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:5,220 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

## Site Reference Number: 16\_0131Site Address Land north of Lowfield Lane (NUA/Ho/10)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 5.03Parish: BaldertonWard: Balderton South

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Part of site Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	Part of the site is currently being marketed
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest that the eastern part of the site is not
achievable at this point	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to mitigate against any detrimental impact to the adjacent Local Wildlife Site (Sinc). The site is allocated for residential development although the western part of the site is no longer available. The remaining eastern part of the site is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. This part of the site is both available and achievable.

#### SUITABILITY

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from

Character Land Use Loc	ation: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield G	reenfield
		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 5.03
Area Character: Country	yside/Residential		
Setting : Urban/Country	vside		
Current Use: Grazing/Pa	addock		
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: A	llocated NUA/Ho/10	Other Policy Cons	straints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A			
Access to Services : Suit	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	s walking	Within 30 mins trav	el by public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Y	es Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office: Yes	Further Education: `	es Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transp	ort Node: Over 1km from major
town centre. 3659m		public transport not	le

Green Space Strategy Comments: 1616m

publicly accessible green space

#### Physical Constraints : May be Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: No Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas Agricultural land quality : 48.15% Grade 3 Good Access to Utilities: - Moderate, 51.85% Not Applicable - Urban Site Apparatus: Neighbour Issues: Flood Zone: 1% Flood Zone 2 Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 4% at medium risk, 19% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : The site abuts Sinc 5/2129 - Balderton Works Meadow (II). Indirect impacts might occur.	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Part of the site is currently being marketed on Rightmove

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest that the eastern part of the site is not achievable at this point

**Ownership Constraints: Yes** 

Ownership Comments: The western part of this site may no longer be available in the medium

	term
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion:	Part of the site is Available within 5 Years
Achievability Conclusion:	The site is economically viable/achievable

## **Additional Comments**

The site allocation will need to be amended to reflect the fact that the western part of the site is no longer available in the medium to short term.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,610 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Coddington

Site Reference Number: 16_0174 Site Address Newlink Business Park, Newark		
Housing/Employment/Both: Employment	Area (Ha): 47.54	
Parish: Coddington	Ward: Balderton North & Coddington	

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	May be Suitable Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

If the Urban Boundary designation is changed through the development plan process this site could be considered suitable for employment subject to appropriate mitigation works. The site is both available and achievable. Any development should be subject to improvements at the A1/A46/A17 junction. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way and a Tree Preservation Order within the site.

#### SUITABILITY

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from

Character Land Use Loc	ation: May be Suitable	!	
Location: Adjacent Urba	an Boundary	PDL/Greenfield G	reenfield
Area Character: Employ	ment to West, mostly a		Area: Greenfield 47.54
Setting : Countryside			
Current Use: Agricultura	al		
Policy: May be Suitable	 !		
Current Policy Status: O	utside NUA SP3 DM8	Other Policy Cons	traints:
Conflicting Issues: Coun	tryside		
Access to Services : Ma	y be Suitable		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins trav	el by public transport
Primary School: No	-	Secondary School: Y	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: N	No Hospital: No
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transpo	ort Node: Within 1km of major
town centre. 3744m		public transport noc	le

Green Space Strategy Comments: 559m

publicly accessible green space

#### Physical Constraints : May be Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Any development should be subject to improvements at the A1/A46/A17 junction. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: Flat – land rises on the Southern boundary.

Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site	
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues: No
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 4% of site at high risk,
Identified within the SFRA?:		6% at medium risk, 16% at low risk
SFRA Comments:		

## Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable

Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: CoddingtonFP4A / CoddingtonFP4A / CoddingtonFP4A / CoddingtonFP4A / CoddingtonFP4A / CoddingtonFP5
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N174 Woodland 1 on southern boundary of site
Natural Features on site Hedgerows with	hin site.Embankment for farm access over the Trunk Road.

Conservation Area :

Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

### Suitability Conclusion: May be Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Achievability Conclusion:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Additional Comments		

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:8,110 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Elston

Site Reference Number: 16_018 Housing/Employment/Both: Ho	32 Site Address Land at Pinfold Lane, Elston Dusing Area (Ha): 2.28
Parish: Elston	Ward: Farndon & Fernwood
Suitability Conclusion:	May be Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

If this site is considered to form part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. The presence of trees with Preservation Orders could prevent part of the site being developed. Any development will need to take account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.

#### S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be Suitable		
Location: Adjacent village	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Character: Countryside / residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 2.28
Setting : Coutryside / residential		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: May be Suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		

#### Access to Services : May be suitable

Within 800m or 10mins	s walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
	Office:		
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: No
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from a
town centre. 8735m		major public transport no	ode
Green Space Standards	Green Space Standards: Within 400m of Green Space Strategy Comments: 295m		nments: 295m
publicly accessible gree	n space		

Highway Engineers Comments: Acceptable in principle Topography Constraints:		
Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage i yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% Gr good	ade 2 Very	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: Very low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use:
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order: 12/00005/TPO Woodland 1 on the southern boundary of the site; Group 1 on the eastern boundary of the site
Natural Features on site	
Conservation Area : 8% is in a CA	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

## Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

## AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Legal Issues:

**Ownership Comments:** 

Availability Other Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 



Farndon

Housing/Employment/Both: Ho Parish: Farndon	ousing Area (Ha): 1.03 Ward: Farndon & Fernwood	
Suitability Conclusion:	May be suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
If this site is considered to form	n part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be	
suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with		
the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. The site lies with Environment Agency Flood		
Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Any		

development will need to take account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable		
Location: Adjacent village	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Character: Residential/grazing land	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 1.03 Ha
Setting : Mixed countryside/residential		
Current Use: Grazing land		
Policy: May be suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: Adjacent Main Built Up Area		

#### Access to Services : May be suitable Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: Yes Further Education: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Yes Employment: Yes Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre. 4314m public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 662m publicly accessible green space

#### Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Direct from classified or unclassified. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: No

Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas

Access to Utilities: Yes
Neighbour Issues: No
Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site No	
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

#### Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	

Viability Comments:
Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The sit

Additional Comments

The site is economically viable/achievable

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,400 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Housing/Employment/Both: H		
Parish: Farndon	Ward: Farndon & Fernwood	
Suitability Conclusion:	May be suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		

If this site is considered to form part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site and take account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.

# SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable						
Location: Adjacent Village		PDL/Greenfield (	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield			
		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 1.56 Ha			
Area Character: Resider	ntial/grazing land					
Setting : Mixed countrys	side/residential					
Current Use: Grazing lar	Current Use: Grazing land					
Policy: May be suitable						
Current Policy Status: SI	23 DM8	Other Policy Con	Other Policy Constraints:			
Conflicting Issues: Adjacent Main Built Up Area						
Access to Services : May	y be suitable					
Within 800m or 10mins	Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport					
Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes		Secondary School: V	/es Retail Area:			
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education:	No Hospital: Yes			
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes			
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major				
town centre. 4373m		public transport node				
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from		Green Space Strategy Comments: 721m				

publicly accessible green space

# Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Direct from unclassified. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas		
Agricultural land quality : 73.97% Good, 26.03% Grade 3 Good - Mo		Access to Utilities: Yes	
Site Apparatus: No		Neighbour Issues: No	
Flood Zone: 97.5% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 2% at Medium risk, 11% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:		1170 at IOW IISK	
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: Farndon FP12	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

# Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

# AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**Ownership Constraints:** 

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 Years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

# **Additional Comments**

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,650 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Housing/Employment/Both: He	
Parish: Farndon	Ward: Farndon & Fernwood
Suitability Conclusion:	May be suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

If this site is considered to form part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. The site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site and take account of the sites location within the Conservation Area.

# SUITABILITY

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable					
Location: Adjacent village		PDL/Greenfield G	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield		
		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 2.03 Ha		
Area Character: Agricult	ture/residential				
Setting : Mixed country	side/residential				
Current Use: Grazing/fa	Current Use: Grazing/farmland				
Policy: May be suitable					
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8		Other Policy Constraints:			
Conflicting Issues: Adjacent Main Built Up Area					
Access to Services : May be suitable					
Within 800m or 10min	wolking	Within 20 mins trans	al hu nuhlia tuanan aut		
Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport			
•	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: N			
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: N	No Hospital: Yes		
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes		
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major			
town centre. 4534m		public transport node			

Green Space Strategy Comments: 882m

publicly accessible green space

# Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Direct from classified. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas		
Agricultural land quality : 38.65% Good, 61.35% Grade 3 Good - Mo		Access to Utilities: Yes	
Site Apparatus: No		Neighbour Issues: No	
Flood Zone: Less than 0.05% Flood Zone 3, almost 100% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 0.6% at Medium risk, 4% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: Farndon FP12	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

# Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments: Available	
Achievability Comments:	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:

# Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

# **Additional Comments**



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,650 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Site Reference Number: 16_003 Housing/Employment/Both: He Parish: Farndon	33 Site Address Land at Fosse Road ousing Area (Ha): 18.55 Ward: Farndon & Fernwood
Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Not Suitable Available
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Site not suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
Transport sustainability is ques	tionable given location remote from local services/facilities.

I ransport sustainability is questionable given location remote from local services/facilities. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Approximately 26% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. The site lies outside of the main built up area, within open countryside and is therefore contrary to Policy.

# **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Not Suitable				
Location: Separated from Village	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield			
Area Character: Mainly Agricultural	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 18.55 Ha		
Setting : Countryside				
Current Use: Agricultural/small area of woodland within site				
Policy: Not Suitable				
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:		
Conflicting Issues: Countryside				
Access to Services : Not Suitable				

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport		
Primary School: No Bus Stop: No		Secondary School: No Re	Retail Area:	
	GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: No	
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major		

town centre. 4781m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 1129m

Physical Constraints : May be suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Transport sustainability is questionable given location remote from local services/facilities. Topography Constraints: No		
Contaminated Land?: Maybe		n Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage tified in close proximity to the site
Agricultural land quality : 93.82% Grade 2 Very Access to Utilities: Yes Good, 6.18% Grade 3 Good - Moderate		
Site Apparatus: Two pylon lines c	ross the site.	Neighbour Issues: No
Flood Zone: 26% Flood Zone 3, 33.5% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 0.01% of site at high risk, 0.18% at Medium risk, 2.34% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: Farndon FP11
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site No	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

# Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

# AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY Availability Comments: Achievability Comments: Site not suitable Ownership Constraints: Legal Issues: Legal Comments:

# Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Timescale:

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available

Achievability Conclusion: N/A

**Additional Comments** 

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:6,770 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Site Reference Number: 16_0034 S			
Housing/Employment/Both: Housin Parish: Farndon	ng Area (Ha): 12.68 Ward: Farndon & Fernwood		
Parish: Farhdon	ward: Farildon & Ferilwood		
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable		
Availability Conclusion:	Available		
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A		
Achievability Comments:	Site not suitable		
OVERALL CONCLUSION:			
Transport sustainability is questionable given location remote from local services/facilities. Approximately 55% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. The site also lies outside of the main built up area, within open countryside and is therefore contrary to Policy.			
SUITABILITY			
Character Land Use Location: Not S	uitable		
Character Land Ose Location. Not 5			
Location: Separated from Village	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield		
Area Character: Agricultural	Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 12.68 Ha		
Setting : Countryside			
Current Use: Agricultural			
Policy: Not Suitable			
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Constraints:		
Conflicting Issues: Countryside			
- · ·			
Access to Services : May be suitable	2		
Within 800m or 10mins walking	Within 30 mins travel by public transport		
Primary School: No Bus Stop: V	Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area:		

GP/Health Centre: NoCash Machine Post<br/>Office:Further Education: YesHospital: YesStore of Local Importance:Supermarket:Employment: YesProximity to town centre: Over 1km from a<br/>town centre. 4053mProximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major<br/>public transport nodeGreen Space Standards: Within 400m of<br/>publicly accessible green spaceGreen Space Strategy Comments: 244m

<b>Physical Constraints : Not Suitab</b>	le		
Highway Engineers Comments: Transport sustainability is questionable given location remote from			
local services/facilities.			
Topography Constraints: No	Topography Constraints: No		
Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination	Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is	
	yet to be ident	ified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 95.27%	Grade 2 Very	Access to Utilities: Yes	
Good, 4.73% Grade 3 Good - Moo	lerate		
Site Apparatus: No		Neighbour Issues: No	
Flood Zone: 55% Flood Zone 3, 41	1% Flood Zone	Surface Water Flooding: 0.3% of site at high risk,	
2		1% at Medium risk, 6% at low risk	

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

# Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Site not suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	

Availability Conclusion:	Available
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A
Additional Comments	

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:5,970 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Housing/Employment/Both: H Parish: Farndon	ousing Area (Ha): 3.40 Ward: Farndon & Fernwood
Suitability Conclusion:	Not suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available
Availability Comments:	N/A
Achievability Conclusions: Achievability Comments:	N/A Site not suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	Site not suitable
development should be resiste site is subject to varied levels o satisfactorily address this and p	inly within Environment Agency flood Zone 3 where residential d which makes the site not suitable for development. Part of th f risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to provide suitable mitigation if necessary. The site also lies outsid n open countryside and is therefore contrary to Policy.
SUITABILITY	ot suitable
SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: N	
SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: N	
SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: N Location: Separated from Villag	e PDL/Greenfield Greenfield Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 3.40 Ha
SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: N Location: Separated from Villag Area Character: Mainly Agricult Setting : Countryside	e PDL/Greenfield Greenfield Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 3.40 Ha
SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: N Location: Separated from Villag Area Character: Mainly Agricult	e PDL/Greenfield Greenfield Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 3.40 Ha
SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: N Location: Separated from Villag Area Character: Mainly Agricult Setting : Countryside	e PDL/Greenfield Greenfield Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 3.40 Ha
SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: N Location: Separated from Villag Area Character: Mainly Agricult Setting : Countryside Current Use: Agricultural	e PDL/Greenfield Greenfield Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 3.40 Ha

Within 800m or 10mins	s walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: No
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 4295m		Proximity to Transport No public transport node	ode: Over 1km from major
Green Space Standards publicly accessible gree		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 796m

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Site is disconnected from local services and facilities. Unacceptable in terms of sustainable transport links. Topography Constraints: No		
Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Good	brade 2 Very	Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: No		Neighbour Issues: North east part abuts an industrial undertaking
Flood Zone: 87% Flood Zone 3, 13% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 11% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site Ditch on the eastern boundary		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

# Suitability Conclusion: Not suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Site not suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	

Availability Conclusion:	Available
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A
Additional Comments	

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,630 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Fernwood

Housing/Employment/Both: Industrial/		Area (Ha):	20.93	
Commercial				
Parish: Fernwood		Ward: Fari	Ward: Farndon & Fernwood	
Suitability Conclusion:	Not suitable	e		
Availability Conclusion: Availability Comments:	Available			
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A			
Achievability Comments:	Site not suit	able		
OVERALL CONCLUSION:				
subject to varied levels of risk o	f surface water f	flooding, any pr	oposals would need to	
subject to varied levels of risk of satisfactorily address this and p development would need to mi Wildlife Site (Sinc). Any possible the heritage asset within or nea services and facilities and is una	rovide suitable r tigate against ar e development w rby the site. Hov	mitigation if neo ny detrimental i vould need to a wever, the site	mpact to the adjacent Local ddress any potential impact on is dissconnected from local	
satisfactorily address this and p development would need to mi Wildlife Site (Sinc). Any possible the heritage asset within or nea services and facilities and is una	rovide suitable r tigate against ar e development w orby the site. How occeptable in ter	mitigation if neo ny detrimental i vould need to a wever, the site	cessary. Any possible mpact to the adjacent Local ddress any potential impact on is dissconnected from local	
satisfactorily address this and p development would need to mi Wildlife Site (Sinc). Any possible the heritage asset within or nea services and facilities and is una SUITABILITY	rovide suitable r tigate against ar e development w orby the site. How acceptable in ter	mitigation if neo ny detrimental i vould need to a wever, the site	cessary. Any possible mpact to the adjacent Local ddress any potential impact on is dissconnected from local le transport links.	
satisfactorily address this and p development would need to mi Wildlife Site (Sinc). Any possible the heritage asset within or nea services and facilities and is una SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: M	rovide suitable r tigate against ar e development w orby the site. How acceptable in ter	mitigation if neo ny detrimental i vould need to a wever, the site ms of sustainab PDL/Greenfie Area: PDL	cessary. Any possible mpact to the adjacent Local ddress any potential impact on is dissconnected from local le transport links.	
satisfactorily address this and p development would need to mi Wildlife Site (Sinc). Any possible the heritage asset within or nea services and facilities and is una SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: M Location: Adjacent Urban Bound	rovide suitable r tigate against ar e development w orby the site. How acceptable in ter	mitigation if neo ny detrimental i vould need to a wever, the site ms of sustainab PDL/Greenfie Area: PDL	ddress any possible mpact to the adjacent Local ddress any potential impact on is dissconnected from local le transport links.	

Policy: May be suitable

Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8

Other Policy Constraints:

Conflicting Issues: Countryside

# Access to Services : Not suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: No
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from major

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

town centre. 6182m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 1421m

Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage

Surface Water Flooding: 3% of site at high risk,

4% at Medium risk, 8% at low risk

Neighbour Issues: No

### **Physical Constraints : Not Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Site is dissconnected from local services and facilities. Unacceptable in terms of sustainable transport links.

has been identified at the site

Topography Constraints: Mainly flat and rises to the road

Contaminated Land?: Yes

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Access to Utilities: Yes Moderate

Site Apparatus: On roadside verge on eastern boundary.

Flood Zone:

Identified within the SFRA?:

# Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable

Impact on views: Allocation of this site would result in southern extension of consented industrial development into landscape of agricultural character, albeit a landscape already negatively impacted by urbanising/industrial influences. Some of these elements are temporary (landfill and nearby solar development). Buildings/structures could be prominent in this landscape. The site might be suitable for industrial development.	Impact on existing recreational use: No		
Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat. The site abuts Sinc 2/750 -Mineral Line, Cotham. Indirect impacts might occur.	Tree Preservation Order:		
Natural Features on site Former railway line to west, woodland to south.			
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Local Interest Building - Balderton Grange		

SFRA Comments:

Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable	
AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Site not suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion: Available	
Achievability Conclusion: N/A	

Additional Comments

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:4,970 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0121Site Address Land around Fernwood (NAP 2C)Housing/Employment/Both: BothArea (Ha): 325.83Parish: FernwoodWard: Farndon & Fernwood Ward

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	Resolution to grant Outline Permission on part of site
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Any development on this site will need to proportionately contribute towards the highways package as appropriate. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Any possible development would need to mitigate against any detrimental impact from the Oil and Gas Pipelines running beneath the site and would also need to mitigate against any detrimental impact on thre adjacent Site of Interest for Nature Conservation. Part of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 and development should be designed to this into account. Any development will need to take account of the the sites location within a Hazardous Installation Zone and adajacent to the A1. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. Any possible development would need to take account of and address any detrimental impact on any potential protected species habitats protected trees within the site.

# SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Mixed
Area Character: Residential/Countryside	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield
Setting : Residential/Countryside		
Current Use: Residential/Countryside		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: NAP 2C	Outline planning residential deve dwellings; a mix 0.75ha has a res subject to the si	nstraints: 16/00506/OUTM g application for a phased lopment of up to 1,800 red use Local Centre of up to solution to grant permission gning of a Section 106 eparate application for

residential development for up to 1050 dwellings and associated facilities is pending determination

Conflicting Issues: N/A

# Access to Services : Suitable

#### Within 800m or 10mins walking

Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Office: Store of Local Importance: Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 1747m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space

### Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Secondary School: Yes Re Further Education: Yes H

Retail Area: Yes Hospital: Yes

Supermarket: Yes Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 381m

### **Physical Constraints : May be Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 99.93% Grade 3 Good Access to Utilities: - Moderate, 0.07% Not Applicable - Urban Site Apparatus: Sewge Works. V overhead Neighbour Issues: Site abuts A1 Trunk Road and Hazardous Installation Zone power line. Underground Gas and Oil Pipeline and Hazardous Installation Zones. Flood Zone: 29% Flood Zone 3, 4% Flood Zone 2 Surface Water Flooding: 2% of site at high risk, 5% at medium risk, 16% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	

Impact on views:

Impact on existing recreational use: BaldertonFP10 / BaldertonFP4 Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat. Site abuts Sinc 2/806 - Shire Dyke, Balderton. Indirect impacts may occur. Tree Preservation Order: TPO N302 181 individuak trees, 11 groups of trees and 7 woodlands within the original Balderton Hospital site development

Natural Features on site

Conservation Area : No

Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

# Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

Availability Comments: Resolution to grant Outline Permission on part of site

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Legal Issues:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The

The site is economically viable/achievable

**Ownership Comments:** 

Availability Other Issues:

Legal Comments:

# **Additional Comments**

16/00506/OUTM Outline planning application for a phased residential development of up to 1,800 dwellings; a mixed use Local Centre of up to 0.75ha has a resolution to grant permission subject to the signing of a Section 106 Agreement.

14/00465/OUTM application poending for residential development for up to 1050 dwellings and associated facilities

Site also includes the existing settlement at Fernwood.

The District Council and the Highways Authorities have worked together to formulate an agreed highways infrastructure package to address the various impacts of further development in the area.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:21,550 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Hawton

Parish: Hawton	Ward: Farndon & Fernwood
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Not Suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
necessary. Part of the site is sul proposals would need to satisfa Approximately 4.5% of the site development should be resisted Development on this site will n	at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if bject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any actorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential d. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. eed to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. However, the te lies outside of the main built up area, within open countrysid- liey

Character Land Use Location: Not Suitable				
Location: Separated from the Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield G	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 3.76 Ha	
Area Character: Industrial / former mineral workings				
Setting : Countryside / industrial				
Current Use: Agriculture	e / grazing			
Policy: Not Suitable				
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8		Other Policy Con	straints:	
Conflicting Issues: Outside Urban Boundary				
Access to Services : Not Suitable				
Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins trav	el by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: N	No Retail Area:	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education:	No Hospital: No	
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: No	
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transp public transport not	ort Node: Over 1km from major de	
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from		•	gy Comments: 2911m	

publicly accessible green space

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable			
Highway Engineers Comments: Unacceptable. Inadequate access. Topography Constraints: No			
Contaminated Land?: Yes		n Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage tified at the site	
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	Grade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities: Yes	
Site Apparatus: No		Neighbour Issues: Surrounded by commercial undertakings such as cement manufacture	
Flood Zone: 4.5% Flood Zone 3, 11% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 0.4% at Medium risk, 3.7% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site No	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

# Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Not Suitable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale:	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		

 Availability Conclusion:
 Available

 Achievability Conclusion:
 N/A

 Additional Comments
 Image: Conclusion of the second of the

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,860 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles
Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Parish: Hawton		Area (Ha): 27.5 Ward: Farndon & Fernwood	
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable		
Availability Conclusion:	Available		
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A		
Achievability Comments:	Site not suitable		
OVERALL CONCLUSION:			

necessary. Approximately 40% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to take account of and address any detrimental impact on any potential protected species habitats. The presence of trees with Preservation Orders could prevent part of the site being developed. However, access is inadequate and the site lies outside of the main built up area, within open countryside and is therefore contrary to Policy.

### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Not Suitable		
Location: Industrial/former mineral workings	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Industrial/former mineral working	Area: PDL gs	Area: Greenfield 27.5 Ha
Setting : Countryside/Industrial		
Current Use: Vacant		
Policy: Not Suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: Outside Urban Boundary		

### Access to Services : Not Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Seconda
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further
Store of Local Important	ce:	Superm
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximi

## Vithin 30 mins travel by public transport

condary School: No rther Education: No Retail Area: Hospital: Yes

Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major

town centre. 4017m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 1221m

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Unacceptable. Inadequate access. Topography Constraints: No		
Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site		
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: Major pylons cros north east to south west.	ss the site from	Neighbour Issues: Near to cement works
Flood Zone: 40% Flood Zone 3, 13 2	3% Flood Zone	Surface Water Flooding: 0.5% of site at high risk, 2% at Medium risk, 8% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Not Suitable		
Impact on views: No	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : 60% of the site is Sinc 5/2173 -Hawton Works Grassland . 5% of the site is Sinc 5/2229 - Hawton Old Gypsum Works Ponds.	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N136 Two woodland groups wholly within site approx 4% of site	
Natural Features on site Appear to be lagoons on site, but not surveyed.		

Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):
	No

### Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Site not suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:		Legal Comments:
Timescale:		Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion:	Available	
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A	

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



Site Reference Number: 16_002 Housing/Employment/Both: He Parish: Hawton	28 Site Address North East of Parkers Yard Dusing Area (Ha): 4.41 Ward: Farndon & Fernwood
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable
Availability Conclusion: Availability Comments:	Available
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Site not suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
Upgrade of private access road	would be required. Potential contamination issues at the site

would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Approximately 92.5% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to take account of and address any detrimental impact on any potential protected species habitats. However, the site also lies outside of the main built up area, within open countryside and is therefore contrary to Policy.

### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: .Not Suitable		
Location: Separated from Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfeld
	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 4.41 Ha
Area Character: Industrial, farmland and former n	nineral workings.	
Setting : Countryside		
Current Use: Vacant		
Policy: Not Suitable		
Folicy. Not Suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: Outside Urban Boundary		

### Access to Services : Not Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	
Store of Local Importan	ce:	
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		

Within 30 mins travel by public transportSecondary School: NoRetail Area:

Secondary School: NoRetail Area:Further Education: NoHospital: Yes

Supermarket:Employment: YesProximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major

town centre. 4069m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 1273m

### **Physical Constraints : Not Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Upgrade of private access road will be required plus improvements to the Bowbridge lane junction. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints: No** Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Yes Moderate Site Apparatus: Major pylon line crosses the site Neighbour Issues: Abuts various commercial from north east to south west. Smaller pylons activities cross the site from east to west. Flood Zone: 92.5% Flood Zone 3, 3% Flood Zone Surface Water Flooding: 1.3% at Medium risk, 2 10% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

### Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: Site	not suitable	
Ownership Constraints:		Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:		Legal Comments:
Timescale:		Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion:	Available	
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A	
Additional Comments		



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,400 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Site Reference Number: 16_002 Housing/Employment/Both: Ho Parish: Hawton		est of Scrap Yard Bowbridge Road Area (Ha): 3.87 Ward: Farndon & Fernwood
Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion: Availability Comments:	Not Suitable Available	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A	
Achievability Comments: OVERALL CONCLUSION:	Not Suitable	

Together with site ref.16\_0028 this site would have a cumulative impact on the Newark SLR junction(s). Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Approximately 33% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to mitigate against any detrimental impact to the adjacent Local Wildlife Site (Sinc). The site also lies outside of the main built up area, within open countryside and is therefore contrary to Policy.

### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Not Suitable		
Location: Separated from Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Industrial/former mineral working	Area: PDL g	Area: Greenfield 3.87 Ha
Setting :		
Current Use: Vacant		
Policy: Not Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Countryside/Industrial	Other Policy Cor	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: Outside Urban Boundary		

### Access to Services : Not Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins	s walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major	

town centre. 4121m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 1325m

Physical Constraints : My be suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: To the Newark SLR junction(s) Topography Constraints: No	ogether with 16	_0028 this site would have a cumulative impact on
Contaminated Land?: Yes		n Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage tified at the site
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: No		Neighbour Issues: Close to scrap yard. Derelict structure immediately to south east.
Flood Zone: 33% Flood Zone 3, 40% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 2% at Medium risk, 12% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : The site abuts Sinc 5/222 -Hawton Tip Grassland. Indirect impacts might occur.	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

### Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:		Legal Comments:
Timescale:		Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion:	Available	
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A	

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,280 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Kelham

Housing/Employment/Both: Ho Parish: Kelham	ousing	Area (Ha): 1.63 Ward: Trent
		Ward. Hent
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A	
Achievability Comments:	Not Suitable	

This site does not have a suitable access. Approximately 62% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Any development will need to take account of the sites location within the Conservation Area. Highways and flooding constraints mean this site is not suitable.

### S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable				
Location: Adjacent Village		PDL/Greenfield Mixed		
Area Character: Countryside / Residential Setting : Countryside / Residential Current Use: Agriculture		Area: PDL 0.25 Area: G	reenfield 1.38	
Policy: May be suitable				
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8		Other Policy Constraint	ts:	
Conflicting Issues:				
Access to Services : Ma	y be suitable			
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport	
,	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes	
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from a		
town centre. 3801m		major public transport node		
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of		Green Space Strategy Comments: 202m		

publicly accessible green space

Physical Constraints : Not Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Not acceptable due to poor access Topography Constraints:		
Contaminated Land?: Yes		n Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage tified at the site
Agricultural land quality : 44.89% Grade 2 Very Good, 55.11% Grade 3 Good - Moderate		Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 62% Flood Zone 3, 33% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 1% of the site is at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use:
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site	
Conservation Area : 29.5% is in a CA	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

## Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments:	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	

Availability Conclusion:	Available
Achievability Conclusion:	N/A
Additional Comments	



Site Reference Number: 16_017 Lane, Kelham Housing/Employment/Both: Ho		nd at Corner Farm, off A617 and Broadgate Area (Ha): 1.49
Parish: Kelham	Jushig	Ward: Trent
Suitability Conclusion:	Not Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A	
Achievability Comments:	Not Suitable	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
		the site is subject to varied levels of risk of d to satisfactorily address this and provide

surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development will need to take account of the sites location within the Conservation Area. Highways constraints mean this site is not suitable.

### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be Suitable		
Location: Within village	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Countryside / residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 1.49
Setting : Countryside / residential		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: May be Suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		

### Access to Services : May be Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1k from a town centre. 3977m		Proximity to Transport No major public transport no	
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 536m

Highway Engineers Comments: No Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination	e to poor access Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is ified at the site or surrounding areas	
Agricultural land quality : 100% Gr Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 87% at low risk, 50% at medium risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:		medium nsk	
SFRA Comments:			
Landscape, Biodiversity and Built	Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be Suitable		

Impact on views:

Protected Species/Habitats :

Natural Features on site

Conservation Area : 58% is in a CA

Tree Preservation Order:

Impact on existing recreational use:

Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

### Suitability Conclusion: Not Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Not Suitable	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion: Available	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is economic	cally viable/achievable

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,470 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0177 Site Address Land north east of Ollerton Road, KelhamHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 0.33Parish: KelhamWard: Trent

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	May be suitable Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

If this site is considered to form part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary.

### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable		
Location: Adjacent village	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Countryside / residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.33
Setting : Countryside / residential		
Current Use: Agricultural		
Policy: May be suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 / DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		

### Access to Services : Not suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 3892m		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from a major public transport node	
Green Space Standards: publicly accessible gree		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 300m

### Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Small scale development may be acceptable		
Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Maybe		
Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 2 Very Good		Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: Very low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use:	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area :	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

## Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: Available within 5	/ears	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is econom	ically viable/achievable	



Newark

Site Reference Number: 16_004 Housing/Employment/Both: Ho	45 Site Address Tarmac Site Hawton Lane Dusing Area (Ha): 8.54
Parish: Newark	Ward: Beacon
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
<b>OVERALL CONCLUSION:</b> The site is within the Urban Boundary and could be considered suitable subject to appropriate mitigation works. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to	

mitigate against any detrimental impact to the adjacent Local Wildlife Site (Sinc).

### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Mainly industrial/commercial	Area: PDL 8.54 Ha Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Industrial/commercial	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	

Access to Services : Suit	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr town centre. 3620m	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No public transport node	ode: Over 1km from major
Green Space Standards: publicly accessible gree		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 824m

### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Direct from classified. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Yes		a Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage ified at the site
Agricultural land quality : Urban		Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: A number of build structures on this site	dings and	Neighbour Issues: No
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 0.3% of site at high risk,
Identified within the SFRA?:		1.6% at Medium risk, 8% at low risk
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : The site abuts Sinc 5/1045 - RHP Sports Ground. Indirect impacts might occur.	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site No		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

### Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 5-10 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5-10 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:3,350 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Site Reference Number: 16_0089	Site Address Bowbridge Road, The Bearings
Housing/Employment/Both: Housi	ing Area (Ha): 1.6
Parish: Newark	Ward: Beacon
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	10-15 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable for some
form of development at this point OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
	ary and could be considered suitable subject to appropriate
	available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at
-	ated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to
	er flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address
this and provide suitable mitigation Orders could prevent part of the si	n if necessary. The presence of trees with Preservation
Orders could prevent part of the si	te being developed.
SUITABILITY	
Character Land Use Location: Suita	ble
Location: Within Urban Area	PDL/Greenfield PDL
	Area: PDL 1.6 ha Area: Greenfield
Area Character: Residential / retail	
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Vacant	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Within Urban	Boundary Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	
Access to Services : Suitable	
ALLESS LU JEI VILES : JUILADIE	

Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from major
town centre. 1652m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards:	Over 400m from	Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 574m
publicly accessible gree	n space		

### Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Not Applicable - Access to Utilities: Urban Neighbour Issues: No Site Apparatus: No Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% at Medium risk, 10% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N313 Around all site boundaries plus some within site approx 5%
Natural Features on site No	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No

### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable for some form of development at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 10-15 Years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments: 10-15 Years

Availability Conclusion: Available within 10-15 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,230 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Housing/Employment/Both: Ho Parish: Newark	ousing Area (Ha): 0.97 Ward: Beacon
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 10-15 Years
Availability Comments:	The flats are currently occupied and any relocation of tenants
would require an appropriate ti	mescale.
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
valled levels of fisk of sulface w	vater flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address
this and provide suitable mitiga the sites location within the Co	tion if necessary. Any development will need to take account of
this and provide suitable mitiga the sites location within the Co SUITABILITY	tion if necessary. Any development will need to take account of nservation Area.
this and provide suitable mitiga	ition if necessary. Any development will need to take account of inservation Area.
this and provide suitable mitiga the sites location within the Co SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: Su Location: Within Urban Boundar	ition if necessary. Any development will need to take account of inservation Area.
this and provide suitable mitiga the sites location within the Co SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: Su Location: Within Urban Boundar	tion if necessary. Any development will need to take account of inservation Area.
this and provide suitable mitigathe sites location within the Constitution SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: Suitable Constitution: Within Urban Boundar Area Character: Mostly resident Setting : Urban	tion if necessary. Any development will need to take account of inservation Area.
this and provide suitable mitigathe sites location within the Consultation SUITABILITY Character Land Use Location: Suitable Location: Within Urban Boundar Area Character: Mostly resident	tion if necessary. Any development will need to take account of inservation Area.

#### Access to Services : Suitable Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: Cash Machine Post GP/Health Centre: Yes Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre. 1207m public transport node Green Space Standards: Within 400m of Green Space Strategy Comments: 93m publicly accessible green space

### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Direct from classified. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Maybe	Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site	
Agricultural land quality : 100% No Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk,
Identified within the SFRA?:		17% at Medium risk, 54% at low risk
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : 27% is in a CA	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: The flats are currently occupied and any relocation of tenants would require an appropriate timescale.

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:
#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Timescale: 10-15 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 10-15 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,140 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0097Site Address Barnby Gate (14) NewarkHousing/Employment/Both: BothArea (Ha): 0.03Parish: NewarkWard: BeaconSuitability Conclusion:SuitableAvailability Conclusion:Available within 5 YearsAvailability Comments:The site is economically viable/achievableAchievability Comments:No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

#### **OVERALL CONCLUSION:**

The site is within the Urban Boundary and could be considered suitable subject to appropriate mitigation works. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Residential / retail	Area: PDL 0.03 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Residential	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	

Access to Services : Suitable				
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:	
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes	
Store of Local Important	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 1038m		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node		
Green Space Standards: publicly accessible green		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 230m	

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments:

Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Maybe	Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site		
Agricultural land quality : 100% Not Applicable - Urban		Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable			
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No		
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:		
Natural Features on site			
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No		

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Legal Issues:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Availa

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion:

#### The site is economically viable/achievable

**Ownership Comments:** 

Availability Other Issues:

Legal Comments:

#### **Additional Comments**

14/01542/CPRIOR Prior notification received for the conversion from office to 11 flats



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:210 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

#### Site Reference Number: 16 0098 Site Address Beacon Hill Road (35) Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Area (Ha): 0.2 Parish: Newark Ward: Beacon **Suitability Conclusion:** Suitable Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years Availability Comments: Achievability Conclusions: The site is economically viable/achievable Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable OVERALL CONCLUSION: The site has the benefit of Full Permission. The site is both available and achievable. Any future application would need to address and if necessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below. SUITABILITY **Character Land Use Location: Suitable** Location: Within Urban Boundary PDL/Greenfield PDL Area: PDL 0.2 Area: Greenfield Area Character: Residential / industrial Setting : Urban Current Use: Vacant industrial **Policy: Suitable** Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary Other Policy Constraints: Conflicting Issues: **Access to Services : Suitable** Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: **Employment: Yes** Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre. 1520m public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 433m publicly accessible green space

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Access agreed as part of planning permission

Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site		
Agricultural land quality : 31.74% Grade 3 Good - Moderate, 68.26% Not Applicable - Urban		Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : The Site abuts Sinc 2/805 -Beacon Hill Gypsum Workings.	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N178 part of woodland 5 covers north western corner of site approx 4%	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: Available within 5	/ears	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is econom	cally viable/achievable	

#### **Additional Comments**

There is an extant permission (05/02257/FULM, also see 15/00168/DISCON) for the construction of an apartment complex.



Site Reference Number: 16_009 Housing/Employment/Both: Ho	99 Site Address Castlegate (The Gap Site) Dusing Area (Ha): 0.11
Parish: Newark	Ward: Castle
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**OVERALL CONCLUSION:** 

Planning permission previously granted on site. The site is both available and achievable. Any future applications will need to address the following issues. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Approximately 36% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Any possible development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Residential / A3	Area: PDL 0.11 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Vacant / car parking	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	

#### Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: Yes Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: GP/Health Centre: Yes Cash Machine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: **Employment: Yes** Proximity to town centre: Within 1km of a Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major town centre. 567m public transport node

Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space

Green Space Strategy Comments: 132m

Physical Constraints : Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Maybe		Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage ified in close proximity to the site
Agricultural land quality : 100% Not Applicable - Urban		Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 36% Flood Zone 3, 1.5 2	5% Flood Zone	Surface Water Flooding: 6% at Medium risk, 30% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Two Grade II Listed houses adjacent to site. Grade II Listed former lock keepers cottage adjacent to site. Two Grade II Listed houses, now vacant, adjacent to site. Grade II Listed former public house, now shop and office adjacent to site. Two Grade II Listed houses, now a shop, adjacent to site.	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

**Ownership Comments:** 

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 5-10 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5-10 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

Permission for the construction of 10 new apartments



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:620 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

		s Hawton Road (Land at 2	207)
Housing/Employment/B Parish: Newark	oth: Housing	Area (Ha): 1.33 Ward: Devon	
Parisii. Newark		Ward. Devon	
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable		
Availability Conclusion:		within 5 Years	
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusion	<b>s:</b> The site is	s economically viable/ach	ievable
Achievability Comments:	Informati	ion submitted suggests si	te should be viable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:			
	-	ission. The site is both av	
_		d to address and if necess	sary mitigate against any
of the issues identified b	elow.		
S <b>UITABILITY</b>			
SUITABILITY			
Character Land Use Loca	ition: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban E	Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Gree	nfield
		Area: PDL Area	a: Greenfield 1.33
Area Character: Countrys	side with residential to	the north	
Setting : Countryside			
Current Use: Agriculture			
Current Ose. Agriculture			
Policy:			
Current Policy Status: Wi	ithin Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constrai	nts:
Conflicting Issues:			
Access to Services : Suita	able		
Within 800m or 10mins	-	Within 30 mins travel b	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes Further Education: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importance		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre		•	Node: Over 1km from major
town centre. 3079m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards:	Over 400m from	Green Space Strategy Co	omments: 794m
publicly accessible green		,	

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments:

Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: No		Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is ified at the site or surrounding areas
Agricultural land quality : 100% No Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 0.03% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: HawtonFP2	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
<b>Availability Conclusion:</b> Available within 5 Y	'ears	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is economi	cally viable/achievable	

#### **Additional Comments**

Permission (15/00036/FULM) has been granted for the construction of 20 new dwellings.

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,830 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0103Site Address London Road (65A) NewarkHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha):0.09Parish: NewarkWard: Beacon

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable

**OVERALL CONCLUSION:** 

Planning permission previously granted on site. The site is both available and achievable. Any future applications will need to address the following issues. Any possible development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site and the location within the Conservation Area.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Residential with some offices	Area: PDL 0.09 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Offices	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	

#### Access to Services : Suitable Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: GP/Health Centre: Yes Cash Machine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: **Employment: Yes** Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre. 1665m public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 543m publicly accessible green space

**Physical Constraints : Suitable** 

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Highway Engineers Comments: Sat of planning permission. Topography Constraints:	tisfactory access	was shown to be achievable through the grant
Contaminated Land?: No		Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is fied at the site or surrounding areas
Agricultural land quality : 100% No Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : 77% is in a CA	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Five Grade II Listed houses, now four houses adjacent to site.	

### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
<b>Availability Conclusion:</b> Available within 5 Y	ears	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is economi	cally viable/achievable	

Additional Comments 04/02239/FUL - Erection of 5 dwellings



#### Site Reference Number: 16 0104 Site Address Land off Millgate, Newark Housing/Employment/Both: Both Area (Ha): 2.07 Parish: Newark Ward: Castle **Suitability Conclusion:** Suitable **Availability Conclusion:** Available within 5 Years Availability Comments: Achievability Conclusions: The site is economically viable/achievable Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable **OVERALL CONCLUSION:** The site has the benefit of Full Planning Permission for mixed use development. The site is both available and achievable. Any future application would need to address and if necessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below. S**UITABILITY Character Land Use Location: Suitable** Location: Within Urban Boundary PDL/Greenfield Mixed Area: PDL 0.2 Area: Greenfield 1.87 Area Character: Newark Marina is to the south-west and the River Trent is to the north-west. Setting : Residential / industrial / green space Current Use: Grazing land / industry / recreation **Policy: Suitable** Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary Other Policy Constraints:

Conflicting Issues:

#### **Access to Services : Suitable** Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: Yes Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: **Employment: Yes** Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major town centre. 1190 public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 532m publicly accessible green space

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments:

Topography Constraints:Contaminated Land?: YesContamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site		
Agricultural land quality : 24.19% - Moderate, 75.81% Not Applicab		Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 24.5% Flood Zone 3, 17.5% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 1% at Medium risk, 3% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site	
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed house, now flats adjacent to site.

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY		
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: Available	within 5 Years	
Achievability Conclusion: The site i	s economically viable/achievable	

#### **Additional Comments**

Permission (16/00124/FULM) has been granted for the erection of 34 retirement apartments with communal facilities, landscaping and car parking.

Permission is extant (12/00301/FULM) for a mixed use development comprising the demolition of two former industrial buildings, the erection of 11 dwellings, the erection of private health facilities, an extension to the existing Marina and the creation of a wildlife park.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,950 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

	05 Site Address Northgate, Newark
Housing/Employment/Both: Ho	
Parish: Newark	Ward: Bridge
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
The site has an extant permission	on for residential and outline permission for retail development.
The site is both available and ac	chievable. Any future application would need to address and if
necessary mitigate against any	of the issues identified below.
SUITABILITY	
Character Land Use Location: Su	uitable
Location: Within Urban Boundar	ry PDL/Greenfield PDL
	Area: PDL 1.6 ha Area: Greenfield
Area Character: Residential and	employment
Sotting . Urban	
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Vacant	
Current Ose. Vacant	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Doliny Status Within Lu	han Boundany Other Deliny Constraints
Current Policy Status: Within Ur	ban Boundary Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	
conneting issues.	

Access to Services : Suitable			
Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport			public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
	Office:		
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Within 1km from a		Proximity to Transport No	ode: Within 1km of major
town centre. 742m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 201m
publicly accessible gree	n space		

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments:

Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes		a Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage ified at the site
Agricultural land quality : 100% N Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 4% Flood Zone 3, 64% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 2% at Medium risk, 10% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		10% at IOW FISK
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Legal Issues:

Timescale: 5-10 years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5-10 Years

Achievability Conclusion:

#### The site is economically viable/achievable

**Ownership Comments:** 

Availability Other Issues:

Legal Comments:

#### **Additional Comments**

Permission has been granted for a new retail development (13/00997/OUTM).



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,670 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

#### Site Reference Number: 16\_0106 Site Address Northgate (17) Newark Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Area (Ha): 0.06 Parish: Newark Ward: Castle **Suitability Conclusion:** Suitable **Availability Conclusion:** Available within 5 Years Availability Comments: Achievability Conclusions: The site is economically viable/achievable Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable **OVERALL CONCLUSION:** The site has the benefit of Full Permission. The site is both available and achievable. Any future application would need to address and if necessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below. S**UITABILITY Character Land Use Location: Suitable** Location: Within Urban Boundary PDL/Greenfield PDL Area: PDL 0.06 ha Area: Greenfield Area Character: Residential and offices Setting : Urban **Current Use: Vacant Policy: Suitable** Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary **Other Policy Constraints:** Conflicting Issues: **Access to Services : Suitable**

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
	Office:		
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Within 1km of a		Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major	
town centre. 506m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of		Green Space Strategy Comments: 126m	
publicly accessible green space			

#### Physical Constraints : May be Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Access agreed as part of planning permission

Topography Constraints:Contaminated Land?: MaybeContamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site		
Agricultural land quality : 100% N Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 75% Flood Zone 3, 25% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 2% at medium risk, 10% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed public house adjacent to site.	

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVA	BILITY
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: Info	ormation submitted suggests site should be viable
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Achievability Conclusion:	The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

Permission has been granted for the construction of 12 new dwellings (15/01307/FULM).

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:320 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

## Site Reference Number: 16\_0107Site Address Northgate (75-77) NewarkHousing/Employment/Both: BothArea (Ha):1.01Parish: NewarkWard: Bridge

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

#### **OVERALL CONCLUSION:**

The site has the benefit of Outline Planning Permission for retail development and an extant permission for residential development. The site is both available and achievable. Any future application would need to address and if necessary mitigate against any of the issues identified below

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Residential and employment	Area: PDL 1.01 ha Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Vacant	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	

#### Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Within 1km of a town centre. 722m		Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 181m

**Physical Constraints : Suitable** 

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Highway Engineers Comments: Supermission.	itable access arr	angements were secured as part of the planning
Topography Constraints:		
Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination has been identi	Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage fied at the site
Agricultural land quality : 100% No Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 57% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 2% at medium risk, 15% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

## Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY	
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 5-10 years	Availability Other Issues:
Viability Comments:	
Availability Conclusion: Available within 5-10 Years	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable	
**Additional Comments** 

The site has the benefit of an extant residential permission. Permission has been granted for a new retail development (13/00997/OUTM). SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,240 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Housing/Employment/Both:	Area (Ha): 0.1		
Parish: Newark	Ward: Castle		
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable		
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years		
Availability Comments:	An application for the demolition of the former Robin Hood		
Hotel and the construction of ne	w retail units and a 64 bedroom hotel was pending consideration		
at the time of writing.			
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable		
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable		
OVERALL CONCLUSION:			
The site is within the Newark U	rban Boundary and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation		
measures as necessary. The site	e is both available and achievable. Potential contamination		
issues at the site would need to	be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is		
subject to varied levels of risk o	f surface water flooding, any proposals would need to		
satisfactorily address this and p	rovide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible		
development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby			
-	need to take account of the sites location within the		

**Conservation Area.** 

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL		
Area Character: Residential and retail	Area: PDL 0.1 ha Area: Greenfield		
Setting : Urban			
Current Use: Derelict building			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Within Urban Boundary	Other Policy Constraints:		
Conflicting Issues:			

Access to Services : Suit	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Within 1km of a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Within 1km of major

town centre. 855m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 334m

Physical Constraints : Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes		Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage ified at the site
Agricultural land quality : 100% No Urban	ot Applicable -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 4% of site at high risk,
Identified within the SFRA?:		8% at medium risk, 19% at low risk
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints :		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed Former Robin Hood Hotel within and adjacent to site.	

#### Suitability Conclusion:

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: An application for the demolition of the former Robin Hood Hotel and the construction of new retail units and a 64 bedroom hotel was pending consideration at the time of writing.

Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

#### **Additional Comments**

An application (16/00914/FULM) for the demolition of the former Robin Hood Hotel and the construction of new retail units and a 64 bedroom hotel was pending consideration at the time of writing.

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:320 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

## Site Reference Number: NAP 2BSite Address Land East of Newark (NAP 2B)Housing/Employment/Both: Housing/LocalArea (Ha): 120.14CentreVard: Beacon, Balderton North &<br/>Coddington

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. Any possible development would need to take account of and address any detrimental impact on any potential protected species habitats.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Mixed		
Area Character: Residential/Countryside	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield	
Setting : Residential/Countryside			
Current Use: Agriculture			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Allocated NAP 2B	Other Policy Co	nstraints:	
Conflicting Issues: N/A			

Access to Services : Sui	table		
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: No	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from major

town centre. 3599m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 194m

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: Yes Higher land to north, sloping down to south

Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site		
Agricultural land quality : 92.99% - Moderate, 7.01% Not Applicable		Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone: A very small part (Les of this site is in Flood Zone 3.	s than 0.003%)	Surface Water Flooding: 3% of site at high risk, 6% at medium risk, 16% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: CoddingtonFP1 / NewarkBW17 / NewarkFP18 / NewarkFP39	
Protected Species/Habitats : Potential Species Habitat. 2% of the site is Sinc 2/643 - Beacon Hill	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site Hedgrows		

Conservation Area : No

Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Achievability Conclusion:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Additional Comments		

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:12,720 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

#### Site Reference Number: 16\_0122 Site Address Land at the end of Alexander Avenue and Stephen Road (NUA/Ho/1) Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Area (Ha): 0.49 Parish: Newark Ward: Bridge **Suitability Conclusion:** Suitable **Availability Conclusion:** Available within 10-15 Years Availability Comments: No recent contact with owner. Availability therefore placed in the 10-15 year category. Achievability Conclusions: The site is economically viable/acheivable Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point **OVERALL CONCLUSION:**

The site is currently allocated for residential development. However no recent contact has been made with the owner and availability has therefore been placed in the 10-15 year category.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield		
Area Character: Residentila/Open Space	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.49	
Setting : Urban			
Current Use: Vacant greenfield			
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: NUA/Ho/1	Other Policy Co	nstraints:	
Conflicting Issues: N/A			
Access to Services :			

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: No	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major	
town centre. 2069m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Comments: 104m	

**Physical Constraints : Suitable** 

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).
Topography Constraints:
Contaminated Land?: No

yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas

Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk

Neighbour Issues:

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Access to Utilities: Moderate

Site Apparatus:

Flood Zone: 0.25% Flood Zone 2

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

Viability Comments:

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: No recent contact with owner. Availability therefore placed in the 10-15 year category.

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 10-15	Availability Other Issues:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 10-15 Years

Achievability Conclusion:

The site is economically viable/acheivable

**Additional Comments** 

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:640 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

#### Site Reference Number: 16\_0123 Site Address Land south of Quibells Lane (NUA/Ho/2) Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Area (Ha): 2.33 Parish: Newark Ward: Bridge

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site and the adjacent rail line.

#### S**UITABILITY**

Store of Local Importance:

Green Space Standards: Within 400m of

town centre. 1680m

Character Land Use Loca	ation: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban	Boundary	PDL/Greenfield N	Aixed	
Area Character: Housing/Employment/Open Spac			Area: Gr	eenfield
Setting : Housing/Emplo	yment/Open Space			
Current Use: Vacan gree	nfield/Accommodation	n for homeless		
Policy: Suitable				
Current Policy Status: NUA/Ho/2 Other Policy Constraints:				
Conflicting Issues: N/A				
Access to Services : Suit	able			
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins trav	vel by pu	blic transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Y	′es	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: `	Yes	Hospital: Yes

Supermarket: Employment: Yes Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 254m

publicly accessible green space

#### Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints:				
Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination	n Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage		
		tified at the site		
Agricultural land quality : 80.94% G - Moderate, 19.06% Not Applicable		Access to Utilities:		
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues: East Coast Mail Line adjacent site		
Flood Zone: 71.5% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 9% at medium risk, 25% at low risk		
Identified within the SFRA?:		570 at medium fisk, 2570 at low fisk		
SFRA Comments:				

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable			
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: NewarkFP27		
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:		
Natural Features on site			
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):		

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 5-10 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5-10 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

#### **Additional Comments**

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,940 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

### Site Reference Number: 16\_0124 Site Address Lincoln Road (NUA/Ho/3)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 0.93Parish: NewarkWard: Bridge

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION	

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

#### S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable				
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield			
Area Character: Residential/Open Space	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.93		
Setting : Residential/Open Space				
Current Use: Open Space				
Policy: Suitable				
Current Policy Status: NUA/Ho/3	Other Policy Co	nstraints:		
Conflicting Issues: N/A				

#### Access to Services : Suitable

#### Within 800m or 10mins walking

Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Office: Yes Store of Local Importance: Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 2865m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space

#### Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Bus Stop: YesSecondary School: YesCash Machine PostFurther Education: Yes

Retail Area: Yes Hospital: Yes

Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to Transport I	Node: Over 1km from major
public transport node	
Green Space Strategy Co	omments: 469m

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes

Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site

Agricultural land quality : 99.22% Grade 3 Good Access to Utilities: -Moderate, 0.78% Not Applicable - Urban

Site Apparatus:

Flood Zone:

Neighbour Issues:

Surface Water Flooding: 2% of site at high risk, 8% at medium risk, 41% at low risk

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### **AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY**

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 5-10 years	Availability Other Issues:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5-10 Years

Achievability Conclusion:

The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,110 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

	Site Address Yorke Drive Policy Area (NUA/Ho/4)
Housing/Employment/Both: Hous	
Parish: Newark	Ward: Bridge
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5-10 Years
Availability Comments:	The Council is working with partners, including DCLG Estates
Regeneration team, to facilitage th	nis regenration scheme
Achievability Conclusions: and assistance	The site is economically viable/achievable with public funding
Achievability Comments: and is actively working with partne	The Council recognise that this is a mojor investment project
,	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	
	I development and is suitable subject to appropriate . The site is both available and achievable. This large area is

identified for regeneration and redevelopment to allow for a significant net increase in dwellings as part of a comprehensive regeneration scheme. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary.Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	Mixed
Area Character: Residential/Open Space/Employ	Area: PDL ment	Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban		
Current Use: Houisng/Open Space		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: NUA/Ho/4	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A		
Access to Services : Suitable		

Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by p	ublic transport
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office: Yes	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Important	ce:	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes

Proximity to town centre: Within 1km of a town centre. 866m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 208m

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 10.22% Grade 3 Good Access to Utilities: Moderate, 89.78% Not Applicable - Urban Site Apparatus: Neighbour Issues: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 2% at medium risk, 14% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: NewarkFP28 / NewarkFP28 / NewarkFP28 / NewarkFP29 / NewarkFP30 / NewarkFP30 / NewarkFP31	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: The Council is working with partners, including DCLG Estates Regeneration team, to facilitage this regenration scheme

Achievability Comments: The Council recognise that this is a mojor investment project and is actively working with partners to achieve it.

Ownership Constraints:

Legal Issues:

Ownership Comments:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 5-10 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

#### Availability Conclusion: Available within 5-10 Years

**Achievability Conclusion:** The site is economically viable/achievable with public funding and assistance

#### **Additional Comments**

This large area is identified for regeneration and redevelopment to allow for a significant net increase in dwellings as part of a comprehensive regeneration scheme.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:4,340 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

#### Site Reference Number: 16 0126 Site Address North of Beacon Hill Road and the northbound A1 Coddington slip road (NUA/Ho/5) Housing/Employment/Both: Housing Area (Ha): 5.16 **Parish: Newark** Ward: Beacon **Suitability Conclusion:** Suitable Availability Conclusion: Available within 10-15 Years Availability Comments: There has been no contact with the site owners for some time Achievability Conclusions: The site is economically viable/achievable Achievability Comments: **OVERALL CONCLUSION:** The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate

mitigation measures as necessary. There has been no contact with the site owners for some time and consequently it has been placed in 10-15 year timescale. New access would not be permitted from A1 nor it's slip road. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Loc	ation: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Character: Residential/Countryside		Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 5.1	.6
	itial country side			
Setting : Residential/Co	untryside			
Current Use: Agriculture	2			
Policy: Suitable				
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/Ho/2		Other Policy Cor	straints:	
Conflicting Issues: N/A				
Conflicting Issues: N/A				
Access to Services : Suit	ahla			
ALLESS TO SELVICES : SUIT	מאוכ			
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins tra	vel by public transpo	ort
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School:	res Retail Area	: Yes
GP/Health Centre: No		Further Education:	Yes Hospital: Ye	es
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employme	nt: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transp	ort Node: Over 1km	from major
town centre. 2731m		public transport node		
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from		Green Space Strategy Comments: 467m		

publicly accessible green space

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: New access would not be permitted from A1 nor it's slip road. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints:			
Contaminated Land?: No	Contamination Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is yet to be identified at the site or surrounding areas		
Agricultural land quality : 100% Gr Moderate	ade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:	
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:	
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 4% at low risk	
Identified within the SFRA?:			
SFRA Comments:			

# Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : SuitableImpact on views:Impact on existing recreational use: NoProtected Species/Habitats :Tree Preservation Order:Natural Features on siteHeritage asset (designated & non designated):

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: No contact with site owners

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**Ownership Constraints:** 

Ownership Comments: There has been no contact with the site owners for some time

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 10-15 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 10-15 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

#### **Additional Comments**



Site Reference Number: 16_0127 Site Addr	ess Land between 55 & 65 Millgate (NUA/Ho/6)
Housing/Employment/Both: Housing	Area (Ha): 0.33
Parish: Newark	Ward: Castle
Suitability Conclusion: Suitable	

Suitability Conclusion:	tability Conclusion: Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	evability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this poin	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
The site is allocated for and has previously had permission for residential development, consequently it is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is		

both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site

#### S**UITABILITY**

**Access to Services : Suitable** 

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Residential/Commercial	Area: PDL 0.33 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Yard	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/Ho/6	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A	

Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office: Yes	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce: Yes	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from major
town centre. 4421m		public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of		Green Space Strategy Comments: 299m	
publicly accessible gree	n space		

#### Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Not Applicable - Access to Utilities: Urban Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% at medium risk, 36% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : Yes	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed house and attached former cottages, now house and shop. (Formerly known as Sharps Yard) adjacent to site. Grade II Listed public house (The Watermill) adjacent to site.	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**Ownership Constraints:** 

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

#### **Additional Comments**

12/00688/FUL Permission for erection of 6 dwellings and 2 flats expired

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



Parish: Newark	Ward: Beacon	
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 10-15 Years	
Availability Comments:	Permission for Care home now expired so more land would	
be available within the allocatior	1	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
The site is allocated for resident	ial development and is suitable subject to appropriate	
	ry. The site is both available and achievable. Potential	

to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Employment/Residential	Area: PDL 2.49 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Employment/Residential	
Current Use: Vacant	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/Ho/8	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A	

Access to Services : Suitable				
Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport		
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes	
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes	
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major		
town centre. 4421m		public transport node		
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 299m	

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 85.30% Grade 3 Good Access to Utilities: - Moderate, 14.70% Not Applicable - Urban Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 8% at medium risk, 30% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:				
Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point				
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:			
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:			
Timescale: 10-15 years	Availability Other Issues:			

Viability Comments:
Availability Conclusion:

Available within 10-15 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

#### **Additional Comments**

11/01814/FULM Permission for Care home now expired so more land would be available within the allocation



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,700 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0130 Site Address Land on Bowbridge Road (NUA/Ho/9)Housing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 4.25Parish: NewarkWard: Beacon Ward

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 10-15 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION	

The site is allocated for residential development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Employment/Housing	Area: PDL 4.25 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Employment/Housing	
Current Use: Employment	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/Ho/9)	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	

#### Access to Services : Suitable

#### Within 800m or 10mins walking

Primary School: No Bus Stop: No GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Office: Store of Local Importance: Yes Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 3246m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space

#### Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Secondary School: Yes Further Education: No Retail Area: Yes Hospital: No

Supermarket: YesEmployment: YesProximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from majorpublic transport nodeGreen Space Strategy Comments: 1202m

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes

Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site

Agricultural land quality : 86.74% Grade 3 Good Access to Utilities: - Moderate, 13.26% Not Applicable - Urban

Site Apparatus:

Neighbour Issues:

Flood Zone:

Surface Water Flooding: 2% of site at high risk, 5% at medium risk, 18% at low risk

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Viability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 10-15 years	Availability Other Issues:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 10-15 Years

Achievability Conclusion:

The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,940 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

### Site Reference Number: 16\_0132Site Address North of the A17 (NUA/MU/1)Housing/Employment/Both:Area (Ha): 21.79

Employment/Hotel/Conference Parish: Winthorpe

Ward: Collingham

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 10-15 Years
Availability Comments:	Planning application for employment use currnently being
considered on small part of site	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	Information submitted suggests site should be viable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for mixed use development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Any development should be subject to improvements at the A1/A46/A17 junction. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. The presence of trees with Preservation Orders could prevent part of the site being developed. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Adjacent Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
Area Character: Countryside	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 21.79
Setting : Countryside		
Current Use: Agriculture		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/MU/1	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A		

Access to Services :			
Within 800m or 10mins	walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: No	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport N	ode: Within 1km of major

town centre. 1561m Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 389m

#### **Physical Constraints :**

Highway Engineers Comments: Any development should be subject to improvements at the A1/A46/A17 junction. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints:

Contaminated Land?: Yes

Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site

Surface Water Flooding: 0.2% of site at high risk,

0.6% at medium risk, 15.5% at low risk

Neighbour Issues:

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good - Access to Utilities: Moderate

Site Apparatus:

Flood Zone:

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints :		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: WinthorpeFP3	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N154 Group 1 on south eastern boundary of site	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Planning application for employment use currnently being considered on small part of site

Achievability Comments: Information submitted suggests site should be viable

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 10-15 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 10-15 Years	
Achievability Conclusion:	The site is economically viable/achievable	

#### **Additional Comments**

16/01796/FULM for employment development currently being considered.

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:6,210 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

Site Reference Number: 16_0133 Site Address Land at Brownhills Motor Homes Site		
(NUA/MU/2)		
Housing/Employment/Both:	Area (Ha): 4.65	
<b>Employment/Roadside Services</b>	/Sui Generis	
Parish: Newark	Ward: Bridge	
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5-10 Years	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	
OVERALL CONCLUSION:		
The site is allocated for mixed use development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation		
measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination		

measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary.Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

#### SUITABILITY

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from

publicly accessible green space

Character Land Use Location: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban	Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL	
		Area: PDL 4.65 Area: G	reenfield
Area Character: Comme	ercial/Transport Interch	nange	
Setting : Urban			
Current Use: Motorhom	ne Sales and repairs		
Policy: Suitable			
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/MU/2 Other Policy Constraints:			
Conflicting Issues: N/A			
Access to Services : Suitable			
Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: Yes	-	Secondary School: Yes	• •
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket: Yes	
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a			ode: Over 1km from major
town centre. 2466m		public transport node	

Green Space Strategy Comments: 482m

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Moderate Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 5% at medium risk, 17% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 5-10 years	Availability Other Issues:	

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,920 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

#### Site Reference Number: 16 0134 Site Address Land at NSK Northern Road (NUA/MU/3) Housing/Employment/Both: Area (Ha): 10.11 Housing/Employment/Retail Parish: Newark Ward: Bridge **Suitability Conclusion:** Suitable Availability Conclusion: Available within 10-15 Years Availability Comments: Currently in use for employment. This use will need to be relocated before this site can be developed. Achievability Conclusions: The site is economically viable/achievable Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point **OVERALL CONCLUSION:** The site is allocated for mixed use development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation

measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Employment	Area: PDL 10.11 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Employment	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/MU/3	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A	
Access to Services : Suitable	
Within 800m or 10mins walking	Within 30 mins travel by public transport

Primary School: No Bus Stop: No Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Yes **Employment: Yes** Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre. 2769m public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 725m publicly accessible green space

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 5.46% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Moderate, 94.54% Not Applicable - Urban Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 2% of site at high risk, 6% at medium risk, 17% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Currently in use for employment. This use will need to be relocated before this site can be developed.

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 10-15 years	Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 10-15 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:4,080 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

#### Site Reference Number: 16\_0135 Site Address Land at Bowbridge Road NUA/MU/4 Housing/Employment/Both: Leisure Area (Ha): 5.26 Centre/Housing Parish: Newark Ward: Beacon **Suitability Conclusion:** Suitable Availability Conclusion: **Available within 5 Years** Availability Comments: Part of the site now contains the completed Leisure Centre; another part has full permission for 60 self contained extra care apartments. Achievability Conclusions: The site is economically viable/achievable Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point **OVERALL CONCLUSION:** The site is allocated for mixed use and part of the site now contains the completed Leisure Centre; another part has full permission for 60 extra care self contained apartments. The remainder of the site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. S**UITABILITY** Character Land Use Location: Suitable Location: Within Urban Boundary PDL/Greenfield Greenfield Area: PDL Area: Greenfield 5.26 Area Character: Residential/Employment Setting : Urban Current Use: Vacant Greenfield **Policy: Suitable** Current Policy Status: NUA/MU/4 Other Policy Constraints: Part of the site now contains the completed Leisure Centre; another

Conflicting Issues: N/A

#### Access to Services : Suitable Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: Yes Primary School: No Bus Stop: No GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Further Education: Yes Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Yes **Employment: Yes** Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre. 3154m public transport node

part has full permission for 60 extra care self

contained apartments.

Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space

Green Space Strategy Comments: 1110m

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 4.67% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Moderate, 95.33% Not Applicable - Urban Site Apparatus: Neighbour Issues: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 4% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Part of the site now contains the completed Leisure Centre; another part has full permission for 60 self contained extra care apartments.

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**Ownership Constraints:** 

Ownership Comments:

Legal Issues:

Legal Comments:

Timescale: 0-5 Years

Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

#### **Additional Comments**

14/00402/FULM Erection of new leiure centre on part of site completed. 15/02299/FULM Planning permission for 60 1 and 2 bed extra care apartments under construction

#### SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,380 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

## Site Reference Number: 16\_0137Site Address West of the A1 on Stephenson Way NUA/E/2)Housing/Employment/Both: EmploymentArea (Ha):Parish: NewarkWard: Bridge

Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	Permission granted for Hatchery on central part of site
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for employment development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. Part of the site has permission for a hatchery. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary.

#### S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: Suitable		
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield	
Area Character: Employment	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 12.23
Setting : Employment		
Current Use: Vacant Greenfield		
Policy: Suitable		
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/E/2	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A		

Access to Services : Sui	table		
Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport		public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centr town centre. 2064m	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No public transport node	ode: Over 1km from major
Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 434m publicly accessible green space		mments: 434m	

Physical Constraints : Suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints:		
Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site	
Agricultural land quality : 100% G Moderate	rade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone:		Surface Water Flooding: 2% of site at high risk, 6% at medium risk, 15% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Permission granted for Hatchery on central part of site

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0 - 5 Years	Availability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion:

The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 

16/00166/FULM Erection of Hatchery with Ancillary Building and associated external works granted permission on central part of site

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Portrait)



## Site Reference Number: 16\_0138Site Address Telford Drive , 3 parcels of land (NUA/E/3)Housing/Employment/Both: EmploymentArea (Ha): 1.54Parish: NewarkWard: Bridge

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Suitable Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	Southern part of the allocation is now in active use
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

The site is allocated for employment development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site.

#### **SUITABILITY**

town centre. 813m

Green Space Standards: Within 400m of

publicly accessible green space

Character Land Use Loc	ation: Suitable			
Location: Within Urban Boundary		PDL/Greenfield G	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield	
	,			
Area Character: Industr	ial	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 1.54	
Area Character. Industr	Idi			
Setting : Industrial				
Current Use: Vacant Gre	aanfiald			
	ermeiu			
Policy: Suitable				
Current Bolicy Status: Allocated NUA/E/2 Other Bolicy Constraints:				
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/E/3 Other Policy Constraints:				
Conflicting Issues: N/A				
Γ				
Access to Services : Suit	table			
Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport		
Primary School: Yes	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Y	es Retail Area:	
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: \	es Hospital: Yes	
Store of Local Importance:		Supermarket:	Employment: Yes	
Proximity to town centre: Within 1km of a		Proximity to Transport Node: Within 1km of major		

public transport node

Green Space Strategy Comments: 512m

#### Physical Constraints : Suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). **Topography Constraints:** Contaminated Land?: Maybe Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site Agricultural land quality : 46.75% Grade 3 Good Access to Utilities: - Moderate, 53.25% Not Applicable - Urban Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus: Flood Zone: Surface Water Flooding: 1% of site at high risk, 26% at medium risk, 53% at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints :		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: NewarkFP28	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated):	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments: Southern part of the allocation is now in active use

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:Ownership Comments:Legal Issues:Legal Comments:Timescale: 0-5 YearsAvailability Other Issues:

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

#### **Additional Comments**

Southern part of the allocation is now in active use



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:2,690 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

Site Reference Number: 16_013 (NUA/E/4)	9 Site Address	Former County Highways Depot, Great North Rd	
Housing/Employment/Both: Em	ployment	Area (Ha): 2.07	
Parish: Newark		Ward: Castle	
Suitability Conclusion:	Suitable		
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years		
Availability Comments:			
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable		
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point		
OVERALL CONCLUSION:			
The site is allocated for employment development and is suitable subject to appropriate mitigation measures as necessary. The site is both available and achievable. Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part			
of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zones 2 and 3. Employment development on this site will need to be designed to demonstrates that the development will not increase risk			

elsewhere and where possible reduces flood risk overall. Any possible development would need to mitigate against any detrimental impact to the adjacent Local Wildlife Site (Sinc) and heritage asset.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: Suitable	
Location: Within Urban Boundary	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Urban	Area: PDL 2.07 Area: Greenfield
Setting : Urban	
Current Use: Vacant Highways Depot	
Policy: Suitable	
Current Policy Status: Allocated NUA/E/4	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues: N/A	

#### Access to Services : Suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area: Yes
GP/Health Centre: Yes	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket: Yes	Employment: Yes
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major	
town centre. 12798m		public transport node	

Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space

Green Space Strategy Comments: 382m

#### **Physical Constraints : Suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time). Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: Yes Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 3 Good -Access to Utilities: Moderate Site Apparatus: Neighbour Issues: Flood Zone: 34% Flood Zone 3, 66% Flood Zone Surface Water Flooding: 1% at medium risk, 4% 2 at low risk Identified within the SFRA?: SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats : The site abuts Sinc 2/778 - Great North Road Grasslands. Indirect impacts might occur.	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed Causeway Arches 1770 adjacent to site.	

#### Suitability Conclusion: Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Ownership Constraints:Ownership Comments:Legal Issues:Legal Comments:Timescale: 0 - 5 YearsAvailability Other Issues:Viability Comments:Vailability Comments:Availability Conclusion:Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,640 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charles

North Muskham

Housing/Employment/Both: He	ousing Area (Ha): 0.37	
Parish: North Muskham	Ward: Muskham	
Suitability Conclusion:	May be suitable (in part)	
Availability Conclusion:	Available within 5 Years	
Availability Comments:		
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable	
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point	

**OVERALL CONCLUSION:** 

If this site is considered to form part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. Poor access may restrict development to say 5 dwellings. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. Any possible development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. However part of the site forms part of a Main Open Are within the village and is therefore not considered suitable.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable			
Location: Within Village	PDL/Greenfield Greenfield		
Area Character: Residential/grazing	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 0.37 Ha	
Setting : Residential			
Current Use: Overgrown/ residential garden			
Policy: May be suitable in part			
Current Policy Status: SP3	Other Policy Constraints: Part Main Open Area		
Conflicting Issues: Main Open Area			

#### Access to Services : May be suitable

#### Within 800m or 10mins walking

Primary School: Yes Bus Stop: Yes GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Office: Store of Local Importance:

#### Within 30 mins travel by public transport Secondary School: No Retail Area:

Further Education: No

Retail Area: Hospital: No

Supermarket:

Employment: No
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 6458m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 700m

#### Physical Constraints : May be suitable

Highway Engineers Comments: Poor access - restrict development to say 5 dwellings. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No

Contaminated Land?: MaybeContamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usagehas been identified in close proximity to the site

Access to Utilities: Yes

Agricultural land quality : 100% Grade 2 Very Good

Site Apparatus: Plan shows former building on northern boundary and photograph shows the remains of part of a wall of this building Neighbour Issues: western part close to A1. The Trunk Road is slightly elevated and not shielded by trees at this point. Noise from trunk road traffic very noticeable from western part of site.

Surface Water Flooding: 1% at low risk

Identified within the SFRA?:

Flood Zone: 79% Flood Zone 2

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site Some trees		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed House, formely Vicarage Adjacent to site. Grade II Listed Dwarf wall and railings with gate Adjacent to site.	

#### Suitability Conclusion: May be suitable

### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

# Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Availability Comments:		
Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest t	he site is not achievable at this point	
Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:	
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:	
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:	
Viability Comments:		
Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Y	ears	
Achievability Conclusion: The site is economic	cally viable/achievable	

## **Additional Comments**



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:900 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0057 Site Address The Hollies, west of Main StreetHousing/Employment/Both: Housing/ bothArea (Ha): 1.2Parish: North MuskhamWard: Muskham

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	Not suitable Available
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	N/A
Achievability Comments:	Site not suitable
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

Potential contamination issues at the site would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Part of the site is subject to varied levels of risk of surface water flooding, any proposals would need to satisfactorily address this and provide suitable mitigation if necessary. The presence of trees with Preservation Orders could prevent part of the site being developed. Any development of the site would need to take account of the presence of Public Rights of Way within the site. Any possible development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site. However, the site forms part of a Main Open Are within the village and is therefore not considered suitable.

#### SUITABILITY

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable		
Location: Within Village	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Mainly residential	Area: PDL	Area: Greenfield 1.2
Setting : Housing & Open Space		
Current Use: Grazing land for sheep and horses		
Policy: Not suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3	Other Policy Co	nstraints: Main Open Area
Conflicting Issues: Main Open Area		

#### Access to Services : May be suitable

Within 800m or 10mins walking		Within 30 mins travel by public transport	
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: No	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: No	Hospital: No
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: No
Proximity to town centr	e: Over 1km from a	Proximity to Transport No	ode: Over 1km from major

town centre. 6531m Green Space Standards: Over 400m from publicly accessible green space public transport node Green Space Strategy Comments: 672m

#### **Physical Constraints : May be suitable**

Highway Engineers Comments: Direct unclassified. Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Yes

Contaminated Land?: Yes	Contamination Category: A - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified at the site	
Agricultural land quality : 80.52% ( Good, 19.48% Grade 3 Good - Moo	•	Access to Utilities: Yes
Site Apparatus: Telegraph poles al Street. Wooden shed for sheep/ho	0	Neighbour Issues: Western part of site close to the A1 and traffic noise from the trunk road is very noticeable.
Flood Zone: 79% Flood Zone 2		Surface Water Flooding: 3% at medium risk, 16% at low risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : May be suitable		
Impact on views: Site forms open land within N. Muskham and if developed for housing would reduce the views currently enjoyed by neighbouring properties of the site.	Impact on existing recreational use: North MuskhamFP3	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order: TPO N1 borders the north of the site	
Natural Features on site Trees on site.		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Grade II Listed House, formerly Vicarage Adjacent to site. Grade II Listed Ornamental Outbuilding Adjacent to site. Grade II Listed Dwarf wall and railings with gate Adjacent to site.	

Suitability (	Conclusion:	Not suitable
---------------	-------------	--------------

hip Comments:
omments:
lity Other Issues:

Additional Comments

SHELAA 2016 Sites (Landscape)



<sup>©</sup> Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,450 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0119Site Address South of Muskham SchoolHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 1.077Parish: North MuskhamWard: Muskham

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	May be Suitable Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

If this site is considered to form part of the main built up area of the village, this site may be suitable for limited development if Spatial Policy 3 Rural Areas is amended in accordance with the Council's Preferred Approach amendments. Potential contamination issues in the locality would need to be investigated and mitigated if necessary. The site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test.

#### S**UITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be Suitable		
Location: Adjacent village	PDL/Greenfield	Greenfield
Area Character: Residential/Educational/Country	Area: PDL side	Area: Greenfield 1.077
Setting : Residential/Educational/Countryside		
Current Use: Agricultural		
Policy: May be Suitable		
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Co	nstraints:
Conflicting Issues:		
Access to Services : Suitable		

#### Within 800m or 10mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport Primary School: No Bus Stop: Yes Secondary School: Yes Retail Area: GP/Health Centre: No Cash Machine Post Further Education: No Hospital: Yes Office: Store of Local Importance: Supermarket: Employment: No Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major town centre. 2877m public transport node Green Space Standards: Over 400m from Green Space Strategy Comments: 409m publicly accessible green space

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Highway Engineers Comments: Highway design should comply with the Highway Authority's 6c's design guide (or equivalent replacement that is current at the time of submission). Where appropriate, development proposals will need to be accompanied by either a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and/or Travel Plan. Current guidance on this is found in Table PDP1 of the 6c's design guide (this may be updated from time to time).

Topography Constraints: No Contaminated Land?: Maybe

Contamination Category: B - Potentially contaminative usage has been identified in close proximity to the site

**Neighbour Issues: No** 

Agricultural land quality : 61.81% Grade 2 VeryAccess to Utilities:Good, 38.19% Grade 3 Good - Moderate

Site Apparatus: No

Flood Zone: 100% Flood Zone 2

Surface Water Flooding: 3% at low risk

Identified within the SFRA?:

SFRA Comments:

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable		
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No	
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:	
Natural Features on site		
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): No	

#### Suitability Conclusion: May be Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Viability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

Ownership Constraints:	Ownership Comments:
Legal Issues:	Legal Comments:
Timescale: 0-5 years	Availability Other Issues:

Availability Conclusion:

Available within 5 Years

Achievability Conclusion:

The site is economically viable/achievable

**Additional Comments** 



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:1,050 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesl

South Muskham

# Site Reference Number: 16\_0114Site Address Main Street (Old Grange Farm) South MuskhamHousing/Employment/Both: HousingArea (Ha): 0.65Parish: South MuskhamWard: Muskham

Suitability Conclusion: Availability Conclusion:	May be Suitable Available within 5 Years
Availability Comments:	
Achievability Conclusions:	The site is economically viable/achievable
Achievability Comments:	No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point
OVERALL CONCLUSION:	

Planning permission previously granted on site. The site is both available and achievable. Any future applications will need to address the following issues. Approximately 1.5% of the site lies within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3 where residential development should be resisted. Part of the site lies with Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. Development on this site will need to be designed to satisfy the Exception Test. Any possible development would need to address any potential impact on the heritage asset within or nearby the site.

#### **SUITABILITY**

Character Land Use Location: May be suitable	
Location: Within settlement / adjacent settlement	PDL/Greenfield PDL
Area Character: Countryside / agricultural / reside	Area: PDL 0.65 ha Area: Greenfield ential
Setting : Coutryside / residential	
Current Use: Residential / agriculture	
Policy: May be suitable	
Current Policy Status: SP3 DM8	Other Policy Constraints:
Conflicting Issues:	
Access to Services : May be suitable	

Within 800m or 10mins	s walking	Within 30 mins travel by	public transport
Primary School: No	Bus Stop: Yes	Secondary School: Yes	Retail Area:
GP/Health Centre: No	Cash Machine Post Office:	Further Education: Yes	Hospital: Yes
Store of Local Importan	ce:	Supermarket:	Employment: No
Proximity to town centre: Over 1km from a town centre. 3611m		Proximity to Transport Node: Over 1km from major public transport node	
Green Space Standards: Within 400m of publicly accessible green space		Green Space Strategy Cor	nments: 65m

#### Newark & Sherwood Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment – Site Assessment Form

Physical Constraints : May be suitable		
Highway Engineers Comments: Topography Constraints: Contaminated Land?: No		n Category: C - Potentially contaminative usage is tified at the site or surrounding areas
Agricultural land quality : 100% Gr Moderate	ade 3 Good -	Access to Utilities:
Site Apparatus:		Neighbour Issues:
Flood Zone: 1.5% Flood Zone 3, 98 Zone 2	8.5% Flood	Surface Water Flooding: Very Low Risk
Identified within the SFRA?:		
SFRA Comments:		

Landscape, Biodiversity and Built Heritage Constraints : Suitable	
Impact on views:	Impact on existing recreational use: No
Protected Species/Habitats :	Tree Preservation Order:
Natural Features on site	
Conservation Area : No	Heritage asset (designated & non designated): Yes. Grade II Listed Farmhouse adjacent to site.

### Suitability Conclusion: May be Suitable

#### AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY

Availability Comments:

Achievability Comments: No evidence to suggest the site is not achievable at this point

**Ownership Constraints:** 

Legal Issues:

Timescale: 0-5 years

Viability Comments:

Availability Conclusion: Available within 5 Years

Legal Comments:

Availability Other Issues:

**Ownership Comments:** 

# Achievability Conclusion: The site is economically viable/achievable

# **Additional Comments**

Permission (06/01180/FULM) is extant for 15 new dwellings - some new build, some conversion of existing agricultural buildings



© Crown Copyright and database right 2016 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: 1:970 Date: 30/10/2016 Author: charlesI

Winthorpe

# Winthorpe

Please note that site 16\_0132 North of the A17 is actually within Winthorpe Parish. However, since it is allocated as a site within the Newark Urban Area, NUA/MU/1 the assessment is included within Newark.