

A summary of representations on the Winthorpe with Langford Neighbourhood Plan submitted to the Independent Examiner

A summary of Regulation 14 consultation responses can be seen in the Consultation Statement, available to view through the link below.

[Winthorpe with Langford Neighbourhood Plan | Newark & Sherwood District Council](#)

There were 10 Regulation 16 consultation responses

Regulation 16 consultation responses:

1. The Coal Authority

The Coal Authority had no specific comments to make.

2. Witham First District Internal Drainage Board, Witham Third District Internal Drainage Board, Upper Witham Internal Drainage Board, North East Lindsey Drainage Board

The four Boards had no substantive comments to make.

3. The Environment Agency

The Environment Agency welcomed the support of green and blue infrastructure in Policy 9, and also provisions within policy 10, particularly the support for de-culverting.

They pointed out a missing word, and suggested updates to bring the document into line with the version of the NPPF then current. They also mentioned that they would soon be publishing new national risk information for flooding.

4. Historic England

Historic England had no further comments to make in addition to those made at the Regulation 14 stage.

5. National Highways

National Highways noted that the area is bounded by the A46 trunk road and the A1 trunk road. They commented that Policy 4 of the Neighbourhood Plan seeks to promote opportunities for environmentally sustainable travel modes and they acknowledged that the Neighbourhood Plan recognises their ongoing consultations regarding the major project to improve the A46 Newark Bypass.

National Highways noted plans for the future reconnection of footpaths via a new footbridge route over the A46 trunk road but they were unable to support or consider the proposed footbridge under Policy 4 of the Neighbourhood Plan, as this did not align with the proposed A46 Newark Bypass scheme.

6. Nottinghamshire County Council

Nottinghamshire County Council (NCC) commented that the plan makes provision for built heritage and designated assets in detail, however non-designated archaeology has not been considered to an extent proportionate to the great resource that Winthorpe and Langford contains. They suggested wording that would address this issue.

NCC suggested that the plan could also highlight a level of outreach and public engagement that might be aspired to from archaeological work undertaken as part of a development project. They also proposed that the heritage section could include details of currently recorded finds and monuments in the parish using information from the Nottinghamshire Historic Environment Record (NHER) in the same way that the built heritage has been presented. NCC noted that the plan contains a map from Heritage Gateway, but the data from this source is not up to date and is necessarily limited and they recommended that the NHER is consulted for an accurate map of the known heritage assets in the parish. They further recommended that Policy 5 contain a stronger reference to archaeology in the development process.

7. Natural England

Natural England had no specific comments to make.

8. Newark and Sherwood District Council

Newark and Sherwood District Council (NSDC) pointed out a number of minor errors and omissions and corrected the way in which NSDC documents were referred to.

NSDC also pointed out some more significant errors. They noted that the area called Newark Showground Policy Area did not match the Newark Showground Policy Area defined in the Allocations & Development Management DPD and said that a new map should be provided. They provided an update on progress in the review of the Allocations & Development Management DPD which the plan should have taken account of. NSDC also pointed out where the Neighbourhood Plan needed to be brought into line with local and national policy, and where policies appeared to have been misinterpreted.

9. Sport England

Sport England provided generic advice on their role in relation to neighbourhood planning and referred to relevant national policy and guidance.

10. West Lindsey District Council

West Lindsey District Council commented that the Neighbourhood Plan was well laid out and easy to read. They suggested reproducing whole policies at the front of the plan instead of providing summaries. They also suggested showing the Neighbourhood Plan Area on all maps and providing examples of 'plant' referred to in Policy 3.

All these representations can be viewed in full at: