Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
7 Halloughton Planning Appeal	Local Residents (3)	26.08.2021	 The proposed amendments to their original application are materially negligible and very minimal. Reducing the size of the solar farm by one field - this will not have any impact on the 266 acres they propose. They also say they are considering more planting on the footpath to the south of the area allocated to mitigate visual impact – they do not specify what measures they are proposing to address this? The small triangle of land near Thorney Abbey/Oxton Road will be designated a rewilding patch – this area was not designated originally as having panels in any case so it does not constitute a change Whilst I am in favour of renewable energy sites I feel there must be much more appropriate sites than the proposed land. Clearly the removal of a small area of solar panels is expedient for the applicant in view of the discovery that a footpath runs across the area that would complicate infrastructure and bring additional construction cost. It will have minimal mitigation against the overall impact on the conservation area of Halloughton village with its architectural and cultural heritage still interrupted by not only the construction traffic but the glare and acoustic disturbance if the neighbouring fields were covered in solar glass, effectively industrialising this special landscape. The screening will take a considerable time to grow up – in 	Noted. No officer response required.

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
			my experience of planting hedges and saplings this would be at a minimum of 10 years, and in winter the deciduous screening will be impaired due to leaf fall, particularly because of the undulating ground. The area is not short of abundant hedging and trees so there would not be a huge difference to the wildlife profile of the area. The designation of a 're-wilded' patch of north-facing steep slope (unsuitable for agriculture or solar panels) appears to me a cynical use of a current trend that is specifically and properly used to connote the active intervention to collaborate with nature with the aim of improving bio-diversity. When used to describe fantastic projects such as the official rewilding of Knepp estate in Sussex, this has involved the introduction of herbivores such as wild ponies and highland cattle, the planting of particular species that are attractive to butterflies, moths and other creatures, and careful interventions that help to manage the fauna and flora to re-establish itself. In this case, there is no indication that such activities will be undertaken, and in effect it appears simply to mean that the area of current scrub will continue to be derelict. A small area - the field on the Southwell side of Halloughton - will now have no solar panels; this will make very little difference to the chaos of construction traffic on a narrow lane that is the only thoroughfare into the conservation area of Halloughton. Given the overall scale of the scheme, removing a small number of panels	

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
			makes minimal difference to my objection that the scale is not appropriate to this rural area characterised by its peace and beauty. There is more screening on the footpath to the south of the area allocated - no amount of screening will cover the fact that the landscape is wholly unsuitable because the panels will be 10ft hight and the ground is undulating and thus they will be visible from a considerable distance in the vicinity. In addition screening will take more than a decade to grow to any robust height and thus for the rest of my lifetime the screening will make no difference. There is a small triangle of land near Thorney Abbey/Oxton Rd which would be officially designated as a small rewilding patch. This area borders my farm and I can say that it has been left as derelict scrubland for many years, at least a decade. Is this what is meant by 'rewilding' and does it have any meaningful definition in law? If so, how will it be managed and by whom, and to what standards? My feeling is that the land will simply continue to be left uncared for and uncultivated as it has for so long, including the continuing spread of weeds such as	
7	VIA East	27.08.2021	ragwort over the hedging border to my arable farmland. Discussion of changes to the scale of visual effect as a result of	The comments of VIA are noted
Halloughton	Midlands		Amendments 1 – 4:	and reflect verbal discussions
Planning	Landscape		Amendment 1 - An amendment has been made by the applicant	referred to in the committee
Appeal	Consultants		between the Revision L and Revision M of the Site Layout and	report.
 			Planting Proposals plan. This removes an area of solar panels and	

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
			associated infrastructure from a central field within the proposed	Officers note VIA accept that the
			development. This amendment has been made by the applicant	amendments proposed will lead to
			to reduce the visual effects upon receptors at the western extent	some reduction in the scale of
			of Halloughton and users of the Public Right of Way Halloughton	visual effect of the proposed
			Bridleway 3 and pull the development back from the Halloughton	development (particularly on
			Conservation Area.	viewpoints 14 and 15 which
				previously had a major adverse
			The EMD Team accept that the removal of this area of panels in	scale of visual effect as a result of
			the central area of the Proposed Development will be beneficial	the proposed development until
			in reducing the visual effects upon residential receptors at the	year 1 (or Year 15 in the case of
			western extent of Halloughton and users of PRoW Halloughton	viewpoint 15)). However, it is also
			Bridleway 3 which lies to the south west of the village of	noted that due to the scale of
			Halloughton. It will reduce the magnitude of change at the	visual effects identified by the
			construction stage in particular for Viewpoint 12 which may	applicant, and the scale of
			reduce the major scale of effect at the construction stage.	landscape effect (which remain as
				their previous conclusion) that the
			Amendment 2 - An amendment has been made by the applicant	remain unsupportive of the
			between the Revision L and Revision M of the Site Layout and	proposal due to the overall
			Planting Proposals plan. A belt of new trees are proposed within	landscape and visual impacts and
			an existing hedgerow which encloses a section of the proposed	harm of the development. In this
			site boundary to the southwest. These trees would be a mix of	context Officers remain of the view
			native standard trees which would aid in filtering and obscuring	that, in summarising the overall
			views of the proposals from locations on PRoW Southwell	level of harm, the degree to which
			Footpath 42 to the southwest of the Site.	the amended scheme would have
				an adverse impact on the character
			The EMD Team accept that the strengthening of this hedgeline in	and appearance of the countryside
			the central area of the Proposed Development will be beneficial	would continue to merit significant

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item Correspon	dent Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
		in reducing the visual impact from PRoW Southwell Footpath 42 of the proposals to the north east of this PRoW.	weight in the planning balance.
		Amendment 3 - An amendment has been made by the applicant between the Revision L and Revision M of the Site Layout and Planting Proposals plan. A new hedgerow is proposed along the northern extent of the proposed built form, adjacent to the proposed security fencing. The hedgerow would be comprised of a mix of native hedgerow shrubs and semi mature native trees and over time would aid in restricting and heavily filtering views of the proposals from locations along PRoW Southwell Footpath 43.	
		The EMD Team accept that adding this hedgeline with semi mature trees in the northern area of the Proposed Development will be beneficial in reducing the visual impact on PRoW Southwell Footpath 43. The visual impact on Viewpoint 14 - PRoW footpath Southwell 43 looking south, is currently assessed as a major adverse scale of effect until year 1; and from Viewpoint 15 - PRoW footpath Southwell 43 looking south, as a major adverse scale of effect until year 10. Therefore, any reduction in the scale of effect is welcomed. However, this hedgeline planting will completely change the nature of the current view of walkers on the PRoW, with the topography sweeping up to a low ridge to the south. This view will be closed off to the walker, although there will still be views of the proposed solar panels at field entrances.	

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
			Amendment 4 - An amendment has been made by the applicant	
			between the Revision L and Revision M of the Site Layout and	
			Planting Proposals plan. The proposed solar panels and security	
			fencing have been pulled back from the north eastern corner of	
			the field located to the east of New Radley Farm. Removing the	
			proposal from this corner will enable an area of existing rewilding to continue to establish.	
			wilding to continue to establish.	
			The EMD Team accept this proposal for a rewilding area which	
			will contribute to biodiversity net gain of the proposed scheme	
			and will also have some benefit in reducing views of the site as	
			above on PRoW Southwell Footpath 43 in this area.	
			Summary – Amendments 1 - 4	
			It is accepted by the EMD Team that the applicant has reduced	
			the scale of visual effect of the proposed development on	
			viewpoints where the scale was previously major adverse, by	
			either removing solar panels from the areas detailed above, or by	
			strengthening vegetation in hedge lines along the Public Rights of	
			Way which cross the site. Nevertheless visual effects remain,	
			either as views of the proposed development and its	
			infrastructure, or in the fact that currently existing views will be	
			closed off by the planting or strengthening of existing hedgelines	
			to obscure views of the development; this is particularly the case	
			for PRoW Southwell footpath 43, represented by viewpoints 14	
			and 15.	

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
			Summary of scale of landscape effect	
			To summarise the scale of landscape effects remain as detailed in the previous comments: -	
			A moderate adverse scale of landscape effect on landcover of the proposed site for the 40 year lifetime of the scheme. This remains unchanged.	
			A major adverse scale of landscape effect on the character of Policy Zones 37, 38 and 39 for the 40 year lifetime of scheme is also described. This remains unchanged.	
			Taking the above into account the EMD Team still consider that there are long term impacts on the 'land cover' element of the landscape, and long term impacts on the landscape character of the site area, it is accepted that these effects will diminish with distance from the site. As stated in our previous comments harm has been identified to the setting of Hallougton Conservation Area and the listed buildings contained within the area, in the comments of Oliver Scott – Conservation Officer of NSDC.	
			Conclusion	
			The EMD Team welcome the reduction in area of panels shown on drawing reference P18 -2917 Revision M and the additional	

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
			and amended planting shown, and accept that these changes will	
			lead to some reduction in the scale of visual effect of the	
			proposed development particularly on viewpoints 14 and 15 which previously had a major adverse scale of visual effect as a	
			result of the proposed development until year 1 (or Year 15 in the	
			case of viewpoint 15) However, due to the scale of visual effects	
			identified by the applicant, and the scale of landscape effect	
			which remain as our previous comments, the EMD Team still do	
			not support the proposals for the construction of a solar farm and	
			battery stations together with all associated works, equipment	
			and necessary infrastructure.	
7	The Thornton	29.08.2021	There is nothing in the minor amendments which addresses the	Noted. No officer response
Halloughton	Society		material concerns of the Thoroton Society.	required.
Planning				
Appeal			The effect on the historic landscape would not be ameliorated by	
			the proposed amendments. Tree planting is always welcome but	
			the area is already blessed with mature and long-standing trees and hedgerows: the excellent hedges provide food and shelter for	
			a wealth of birds and other wildlife, wildlife which would be	
			disrupted by the introduction of industrial infrastructure.	
			,	
			The access point is not proposed to be altered which is within the	
			Conservation Area and close to Listed Buildings.	
			Consideration of the plans provided by Pegasus to illustrate the	
			"minor amendments" do indeed amply illustrate the incredibly	

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
			excessive and wholly negative affect this proposal would have on the Conservation village of Halloughton and on its historic landscape.	
			The minor amendments proposed are risible, as nearly all the other correspondents to the appeal process have indicated. It is to be hoped that the appeal will be dismissed.	
7 Halloughton Planning Appeal	Southwell Town Council	06.09.2021	Southwell Town Council considered application 20/01242/FULM Land North Of Halloughton amendments and agreed by majority to strongly object to this application for the following reasons in addition to the previously submitted objections: - • The loss of one field has no significant change on the impact development will have on the area. Overall the surface area covered by the development is approximately 40-50% of the area of Southwell, and this makes it of industrial proportions and unsuitable for a rural area. • The rewilding from the Northeast corner will only replace the natural area which is wild already, and has been for many years. • Because of the shading of the sunlight and rain, the reinstatement of the land after 40 years will take a long time to get the land back to good quality.	Noted. No officer response required.

7	Southwell Civic	07.09.2021	"The Civic Society strongly opposes the	Noted. No officer response required.
Halloughton	Society		proposed amendments to the appeal	
Planning			submitted by the applicant.	
Appeal			As pointed out by many other	

Catharine Saxton (and others)/Each Item No.

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 3rd August 2021

	correspondents, the amendments proposed are so trivial that they do not seriously address the fundamental objections to the original scheme. Refusal of the initial application was based on many well founded, substantial objections and we urge the District Council to reject the amendments. We would like to confirm our previous submissions and endorse the detailed objections to the proposed minor amendments made by John and Rose Martindale."	
--	---	--