## **Rolleston Parish Council**

## Minutes of Rolleston Parish Council meeting held on Tuesday 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2024 at The Greenaway, Rolleston

Present:

Cllr B Steele (in the Chair) BS Cllr P Colman PC

Cllr M Gemson MG

Mr S Bosworth (Rolleston Flood/Snow Warden) SB

1 member of the public.

<ul> <li>4 Matters arising not on the agenda. No matters were noted.</li> <li>5 First Open Session <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about:</li> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Cha	Chair's Welcome:	
<ul> <li>To receive apologies for absence         Apologies received from ClIrs Geraghty and Parslow and D Bryant. (Clerk)</li> <li>To accept Declarations of interest.         No interests were declared.</li> <li>To Approve and accept the minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2023         The minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December were approved as a Correct         Record. To be signed by the Chair, BS.</li> <li>Matters arising not on the agenda.         No matters were noted.</li> <li>First Open Session         <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.                  <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	The	chair welcomed everyone to the meeting at 19.30 p.m.	
<ul> <li>To accept Declarations of interest. No interests were declared.</li> <li>To Approve and accept the minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2023 The minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December were approved as a Correct Record. To be signed by the Chair, BS.</li> <li>Matters arising not on the agenda. No matters were noted.</li> <li>First Open Session <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about:</li> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The ember of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>No interests were declared.</li> <li><b>To Approve and accept the minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2023</b>         The minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December were approved as a Correct Record. To be signed by the Chair, BS.     </li> <li><b>Matters arising not on the agenda.</b>         No matters were noted.     </li> <li><b>First Open Session</b> <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about:                 <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>Bs informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC.</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>		Apologies received from Cllrs Geraghty and Parslow and D Bryant. (Clerk)	
<ul> <li>3 To Approve and accept the minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2023 The minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December were approved as a Correct Record. To be signed by the Chair, BS.</li> <li>4 Matters arising not on the agenda. No matters were noted.</li> <li>5 First Open Session <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2	To accept Declarations of interest.	
<ul> <li>The minutes of the meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> December were approved as a Correct Record. To be signed by the Chair, BS.</li> <li>Matters arising not on the agenda. No matters were noted.</li> <li>First Open Session <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		No interests were declared.	
Record. To be signed by the Chair, BS.4Matters arising not on the agenda. No matters were noted.5First Open Session a) District and County Council Reports As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard. b) Public representations A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems. Questions were raised about: • Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight. • Flood risks. • The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15 <sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC	3		
<ul> <li>4 Matters arising not on the agenda. No matters were noted.</li> <li>5 First Open Session <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		The minutes of the meeting held on 4 <sup>th</sup> December were approved as a Correct	
<ul> <li>No matters were noted.</li> <li>First Open Session         <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe</li> <li>development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the</li> <li>Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about:                 <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>		Record. To be signed by the Chair, BS.	BS
<ul> <li>First Open Session <ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> </ul> </li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe</li> <li>development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>	4	Matters arising not on the agenda.	
<ul> <li>a) District and County Council Reports</li> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe</li> <li>development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a</li> <li>facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the</li> <li>Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels</li> <li>(the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to</li> <li>about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about:</li> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>		No matters were noted.	
<ul> <li>As neither Councillor was present, no reports were heard.</li> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe</li> <li>development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the</li> <li>Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels</li> <li>(the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about:</li> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>	5	First Open Session	
<ul> <li>b) Public representations</li> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery</li> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe</li> <li>development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a</li> <li>facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the</li> <li>Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels</li> <li>(the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to</li> <li>about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>		a) District and County Council Reports	
<ul> <li>A member of the public referenced his own experience in respect of Battery Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems. Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Storage facilities. He noted an objection raised regarding the Staythorpe development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>development was the potential noise level. On the basis of tests he carried out at a facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>facility in Kent, he stated that Rolleston would not be affected by noise from the Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Storage Facility at Staythorpe. The tests at Kent emitted no more than 65 decibels (the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>(the sound of one vacuum cleaner.) He said that noise could be further reduced to about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>about 15 decibels by using noise baffling systems.</li> <li>Questions were raised about: <ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Questions were raised about:</li> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>		·	
<ul> <li>Fire risks, particularly "thermal runaway", where fire in one battery triggers others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>others to catch alight.</li> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Flood risks.</li> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>The effects on wildlife, particularly badgers, which have all but disappeared from the proposed site at Staythorpe.</li> <li>The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments</li> <li>BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15<sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC</li> </ul>			
from the proposed site at Staythorpe. The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15 <sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. <b>ACTION:</b> BS to contact NSDC			
The member of the public suggested that, as part of the appeal process, the Parish Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15 <sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. <b>ACTION:</b> BS to contact NSDC			
Council could request sight of the BESS Fire Safety Management Plan plus the noise level and flood risk assessments BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15 <sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. <b>ACTION:</b> BS to contact NSDC			
level and flood risk assessments BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15 <sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. <b>ACTION:</b> BS to contact NSDC			
BS informed the meeting that BESS has lodged the appeal and the deadline is 15 <sup>th</sup> January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. <b>ACTION:</b> BS to contact NSDC			
January '24 for representations to be made to NSDC. ACTION: BS to contact NSDC			
and staythorpe/Kelham prior to making representations to NSDC if necessary.			BC
		and Staythorpe/Keinam prior to making representations to NSDC if necessary.	BS

6	Planning:	
	a) No planning applications have been received for consideration at this	
	meeting.	
	b) Update on Planning matters including recent and extant planning	
	applications.	
	i. Planning Status report from Cllr Melton [KM] on NSDC owned land	
	adjacent to Rolleston Village Hall. Deferred due to absence of KM.	км
	ii. KM update on Staythorpe Battery Storage. Deferred due to absence of	
	KM.	км
	that he made representation at the NSDC Planning Committee meeting	
	on 7 <sup>th</sup> December. Planning Permission was not granted on the grounds	
	of "over-development of the site."	
	c) Any other items notified to Rolleston Parish Council prior to the meeting	
	and requiring submission of comments before the following scheduled	
ļ	Parish Council Meeting. There were no other matters	
7	Financial Matters:	
	a) To approve payments as listed on the payment schedule.	
	No payments required other than the monthly salary for the Clerk.	
	b) To receive and approve bank statements.	
	The council received and approved the current account balance:	
	Main Acc. (ending 433) As of 23.12.23 - £21,948.16	
	Corner Farm Acc. (ending 006) As of 13.13.23 - £21,261.20	
	MG suggested a meeting with BS and DB and any other interested Councillors, to	
	go through the detailed figures of the budget for approval at the next meeting.	
	ACTION: BS to arrange.	BS
	c) Precept:	
	BS said that in view of the small turnout at the meeting due to local flooding, DB	
	had requested a delay in the submission of the Precept to NSDC so that it can be	
	approved and minuted at the next meeting on 5th February '24. Approval for this	
	delay has been received from NSDC.	
8	Footpaths and Highways	
0	a) Dog Fouling:	
	BS clarified that there is no Working Party with Fiskerton cum Morton but he has	
	agreed on co-operation.	
	PC reported that Footpath 2A (from the Village Hall to the Staythorpe end of the	
	village) was badly effected by dog fouling. This was confirmed by a member of the	DC
	public who is aware of dogs roaming free over the area. <b>ACTION:</b> PC to put up	РС
	notices at both ends of the footpath.	
	b) Footpaths.	
	PC noted that the gate between the Village Hall and the Footpath 2A is off its	РС
<u> </u>	hinges. ACTION: PC to contact the footpath Officer, NCC.	
9	Flooding Issues and Emergency Plan	
	a) Flood Warden's report on future flood risk mitigation initiatives.	
	SB reported that:	
	i. he has had no success in replenishing the Resilience Store. He has	
	ordered sand which, if used to mitigate the effects of the current floods,	

	he will need to charge the PC. This was voted on and unanimously agreed.	
	ii. he has contacted the Environment Agency regarding the raw sewage	
	which is flowing into the water course from the drain outside "Saxonwell." He has received no assurance that any action will be taken	
	in a timely fashion.	SS
	b) BS reported receipt of a cc email from a Parishioner to Cllr. Saddington [SS]	
	and Cllr Melton [KM]regarding flooding in Rolleston on Station Rd. SS had	
	responded saying she would take up the matter with the Flood Response	
	Team. c) Steve Bosworth reported that, as required a part of his role as Snow	
	Warden, he had tried to report snow showers to NCC, only to be told that	
	he was ringing the wrong number (the one he was given) ACTION: As per	
	the minutes of the previous meeting, the Clerk to continue to liaise with Cllr	DB
	Saddington to progress. d) Cllr Melton [KM] update on Trent Ward Flood Warden meeting. Deferred	КМ
	due to absence of KM.	NIVI
10	Parish Council Owned and Managed Village Land and Facilities	
	a) Play Park:	
	BS noted that consideration should be given to allocating funds from the precept	
	and council reserves to cover the cost of future replacement of equipment. PC suggested and the council agreed that, in view of the likely cost of replacements,	
	the council should wait until equipment is "condemned" by the NSDC Inspector	
	before taking any action. BS noted that weekly visual inspections of the Playpark	
	are carried out by volunteers.	
	MG suggested that the Inspector be asked to comment on the likely life of the existing equipment to assist with budgeting. <b>ACTION:</b> PC to contact NSDC .	
	b) Tree planting/wildflower gardens on Parish Council land within the village –	РС
	organisation of a meeting with Cllr Melton and NSDC Tree officer regarding	
	the grants available. Deferred due to absence of KM.	KM
	c) Finger Post repair/replacement.	
	PC is still awaiting a response from Cllr Saddington who undertook to chase up the required repair.	SS
	d) MG raised the need to improve the signage for the Village Hall. This to be	
	progressed in 2024 if necessary.	
	e) Provision of a village noticeboard.	
	As the Parish Council has found it necessary to use the full Notice Board on the KG Garden for the required display of Council business, all leaflets / adverts etc have	
	been transferred to the old Telephone Box. It was agreed and voted on that the	
	council would compensate the village for the loss of space by funding 2 lockable A4	
	waterproof boards to be mounted on the outside of the telephone box. ACTION:	
	PC to progress.	РС
	f) Station Rd and National Grid	РС
		PC

	impossible. The contractor is putting a plan in place for dates to carry out	
	reinstatement of the verges to their correct status. BS to follow up progress	BS
11	Community / Neighbourhood Issues	
	a) Defibrillator Training.	
	PC reported that the training was well received, and several parishioners had	
	expressed interest in general First Aid training. ACTION: PC/JG to feedback at the	PC
	next meeting.	
	b) First Responders	
	PC reported that a member of the public had suggested that a group of volunteer	
	informal First Responders might be formed to offer support in the event of health	
	incidents in the village. ACTION: PC to report back on how this might be	PC
	progressed.	
	c) Electric car charging points.	
	PC reported that the meeting with "50five" took place as planned. It was agreed	
	that, as the plan is to have charge points sited in The Vinery Car Park, the Vinery	
	team will take on future negotiations with the suppliers.	
	d) Southwell Racecourse meetings.	
	Tim Harries reported via email that:	
	i. 76 meetings are planned for 2024 of which 40 are evening meeting and	
	6 are on Sundays. This is an increase of 7 meetings compared to 2023	
	but is less than the 80 fixtures per annum the course have permission	
	to hold	
	ii. Due to remedial repairs, there will be no public access until at least 4	
	March 2024.	
	iii. It was re-stated that there has been no loss/movement of the Tapeta	
	all-weather racing surface due to flooding.	
	iv. The racecourse representative is aware of and is sympathetic to	
	Rolleston's ongoing concerns regarding racecourse traffic passing	
	through the village. The racecourse website directions and signage	
	directs all traffic to use Racecourse Rd but the racecourse cannot	
	enforce this. Additional signage is put in place for Sunday and evening	
	meetings. However traffic still passes through Rolleston including	
	horse-box drivers claiming mitigating horse health issues which the	
	racecourse are obliged to support.	
12	Parish Council Administration	
	a) Update on development of Parish Council Website.	
	BS reported that he is liaising with various Parishioners and with Diana Powell from	
	Fiskerton with the aim of creating a bespoke website to suit the needs of the	
	village. <b>ACTION:</b> BS to progress this procurement.	BS
13	General Correspondence Received	
_	BS reported receipt of :	
	i. An email from a member of the public regarding recent sewage problems.	
	Copied to SB, as Flood Warden, for any appropriate action.	SB
14	Second Open Session. No matters raised.	
		1

15	Matters Raised in Open Session/ Matters Received After Publication of Agenda
	(for report only)/ Agenda items for next meeting.
	a. Agree and vote on 2024-25 budget and Precept request – delay agreed with
	Phil Ward of NSDC.
	<ul> <li>Report on issues relating to the battery energy storage system in Staythorpe [BS]</li> </ul>
	c. Matters outstanding raised with Cllr Melton:
	<ul> <li>Planning status of NSDC owned land adjacent to Rolleston Village Hall.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Update on Staythorpe Battery Storage.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Update on Trent Ward Flood Warden meeting.</li> <li>Treas also the field as a second secon</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Tree planting/wildflower gardens on Parish Council land within the</li> </ul>
	village, to include the organisation of a meeting between Cllr Melton and
	NSDC Tree officer re available grants.
	d. Matters outstanding raised with Cllr Saddington:
	<ul> <li>Response from the Flood Response Team to an email from a member of</li> </ul>
	the public regarding the flooding on Station Rd.
	<ul> <li>Finger Post repair/replacement. PC is still awaiting a response from Cllr</li> </ul>
	Saddington.
	e. Feedback on Playpark [PC]
	f. First Aid Course- potentially to be included in the Annual Parish Meeting
	[JG]
	g. Set the date and agenda for the Annual Parish Meeting
	h. Feedback from meeting with Caroline Henry, Notts Police and Crime
	Commissioner on 24 <sup>th</sup> January. [MG]
16	Date of next meeting: Monday 5 <sup>th</sup> February 2024 @ 19:30pm in the Meeting
	Room at Rolleston Village Hall, The Greenaway. Rolleston.

There being no further business, the Chair thanked everyone for attending and closed the meeting at 20.45.

## I declare that the above is a true account of the meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2024 (approved at the PC meeting held on 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2024).

Signed ..... Date .....

**Chair Rolleston Parish Council** 

Distribution: Parish Councillors, Cllr S Saddington, Cllr K Melton, Rolleston Noticeboards, NSDC Website.